



Status in WI	Program	Purpose	EBP?	Current Fund Source	Other Considerations
Under consideration for Future Prevention Plan	Motivational Interviewing (MI)	Enhanced case management practices	Yes	Local Funding	Exists in 2-3 jurisdictions, without fidelity monitoring
Under consideration for Future Prevention Plan	Intercept (DMCPS)	Intensive in-home services for children 0-18 at risk of OHC placement	Yes	Local Funding & Federal Funding	Milwaukee County is moving forward with pilot, no current balance of state participation
Under consideration for Future Prevention Plan	Peer Support	Support for families experiencing child welfare involvement "walking with me, instead of coming at me"	No	Programs vary	<p>Cited as most underrepresented service by Lived Experts</p> <p>Could explore peer support services in connection with other EBPs in plan and potential claiming options</p> <p>While Parents Supporting Parents (PSP) is an EBP, it is considered an evidence-informed implementation</p>

Program	Summit Feedback	Training	Funding	Fidelity
Motivational Interviewing (MI)	<p>Majority of groups felt that MI would be best for an EBP</p> <p>Reasons cited fell into three categories:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Centers families/youth <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impacts on largest group of families Less intrusive for families (gets to issue right away) Tie to peer support Supports workforce <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Could decrease stress/turnover Helps professionals use the same language Could be tied to interventions already used in AODA/SA spaces Least barriers to implement statewide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Already have workforce (not a new thing) Could use PDS infrastructure Largest buy-in 	<p>Have trained on MI previously</p> <p>Could explore leveraging existing PDS infrastructure</p> <p>Could consider building into new worker training vs. a staggered roll out</p> <p>Could consider 'train the trainer model' for implementation</p> <p>Could explore collaboration for training with DHS</p>	<p>DCF has an existing training budget, which funds the current PDS contract</p> <p>Would require re-evaluating existing training efforts and scaling back some training to make space for MI training</p>	<p>Ensure MI is used as intended to support family engagement and shared ownership over goal setting (one LE found the use of MI previously to have been used manipulatively)</p> <p>Could explore regional or consortium fidelity monitoring</p> <p>May require additional supervisory or local responsibilities to support fidelity</p>
Intercept expansion beyond DMCPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few select counties expressed interest in Intercept in the future, but challenging without funding Having providers and population capacity was a concern across the BOS Unlikely to see implemented in the next two years Feedback indicated two main areas of need: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Desire to see Intercept's results in Milwaukee County Need for a fully funded pilot period 	<p>Significant training needs for providers; new model for implementation</p>	<p>Would require significant investment to pilot at the desired level suggested by counties</p> <p>Would require biennial budget ask in 27-29, w/ high WCHSA support</p> <p>Unlikely to see move forward in current climate</p>	<p>Fidelity is supported by Intercept and is managed through programs costs</p> <p>Fidelity doesn't require any new work from CW professionals/sups</p>