90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number:		231221DSP-Milw-1286	Agency:	Division of Milwaukee Child Protective Services			
Child Information (at time of incident) Age: 14 years Gender: Female Male							
Race or Ethnicity: Black/African American							
Special	Needs: None						

Date of Incident: 12/21/2023

Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:

On December 21, 2023, the agency received a report regarding a 14-year-old child brought to the hospital with serious injuries. Law Enforcement was contacted and initiated a criminal investigation. Medical professionals determined the injuries were non-accidental in nature. As a result of law enforcement's investigation, the child's uncle was charged with Operator Flee/Elude Officer (Great Harm), Knowingly Operate w/o Valid License (Great Bodily Harm), and Injury by Use/Vehicle (Controlled Substance). A criminal charge is merely an allegation, and a defendant is presumed innocent until and unless proven guilty.

Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical professionals to complete the assessment. Medical professionals determined the injuries were non-accidental in nature. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of evidence to substantiate maltreatment of neglect to the child by the uncle. The agency determined the child safe with her grandmother, who is her legal guardian. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment, and no service referrals were made.

Yes I No Criminal investigation pending or completed?

Yes INO Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom? The child's uncle.

Child's residence at the time of incident: 🛛 In-home 🗌 Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:

Description of the child's family (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

At the time of the incident, the child resided with her grandmother, who is her legal guardian. The incident occurred outside of the child's home while the child was with the uncle.

Yes No Statement of Services: Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services: N/A

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

The following history is related to the child's uncle:

On September 6, 2022, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child and the now 9-year-old child of the uncle's partner. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegations of neglect were unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On February 7, 2020, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On November 25, 2019, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an Initial Assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.) The following history is related to the 14-year-old child's uncle:

On September 6, 2022, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child and the now 9-year-old child of the uncle's partner. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegations of neglect were unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On February 7, 2020, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On November 25, 2019, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect by the uncle to the uncle's now 6-year-old child. An assessment was completed by the agency, and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical professionals to complete the assessment. Medical professionals determined the injuries were non-accidental in nature. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of evidence to substantiate maltreatment of neglect to the child by the uncle. The agency determined the child safe with her grandmother, who is her legal guardian. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment, and no service referrals were made.

B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there: $N\!/\!A$

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of a child. N/A

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

	, , , , , ,	•	
\triangleleft	Screening of Access report		Attempted or successful reunification
	Protective plan implemented		Referral to services
\triangleleft	Initial assessment conducted		Transportation assistance
	Safety plan implemented	\boxtimes	Collaboration with law enforcement
	Temporary physical custody of child	\boxtimes	Collaboration with medical professionals
	Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of		Supervised visitation
	protection or services)		Case remains open for services
	Placement into foster home	\boxtimes	Case closed by agency
	Placement with relatives		Initiated efforts to address or enhance community
	Ongoing Services case management		collaboration on CA/N cases
			Other (describe):

FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident: $N\!/\!A$

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review: $N\!/\!A$

Yes No Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.