

# 90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

**Case Tracking Number:** 181018-DSP-MARA-873      **Agency:** Marathon County Department of Social Services

**Child Information** (at time of incident)

Age: 2 Months      Gender:  Female  Male

Race or Ethnicity: Asian

Special Needs: None

**Date of Incident:** 10/18/2018

**Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:**

On October 19, 2018, the agency received a report regarding a 2-month-old infant pronounced deceased. The Medical Examiner's Office determined that the infant had suffered multiple injuries to his head and body that were non-accidental in nature. Law enforcement was contacted and initiated a criminal investigation. As a result of law enforcement's investigation, the infant's unlicensed child care provider was arrested and criminally charged with 1st-Degree Intentional Homicide. A criminal charge is merely an allegation and a defendant is presumed innocent until and unless proven guilty.

**Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:**

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the infant by his unlicensed child care provider. The Medical Examiner's Office determined that the infant had suffered multiple injuries to his head and body that were non-accidental in nature. The infant's 1-year-old brother was determined to be safe with his mother and father and remained in his home. The infant's child care provider was criminally charged and court ordered to have no contact with the infant's family. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment. The infant's unlicensed child care provider's 5-month-old biological infant was determined to be unsafe, taken in to Temporary Physical Custody and placed in a foster home. A Child in Need of Protection or Services Petition was filed and the case was opened to provide ongoing case management services.

Yes  No Criminal investigation pending or completed?

Yes  No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom? The infant's unlicensed childcare provider

**Child's residence at the time of incident:**  In-home  Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

**A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:**

**Description of the child's family** (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

At the time of the incident, the infant resided with his mother, father and 1-year-old brother. The infant was being cared for by his unlicensed childcare provider, who also had a 5-month-old biological infant.

Yes  No **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

**If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:**

N/A

**Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years:** (Does not include the current incident.)

There is no history applicable to the infant's mother and father.

The following history is applicable to the alleged maltreater, the infant's unlicensed child care provider, who was caring for the infant at the time of the incident:

On August 7, 2018, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse to the alleged maltreater's now 5-month-old

infant by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On August 3, 2018, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect to another unrelated child in the alleged maltreater's care. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was substantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On February 7, 2018, the alleged maltreater's parental rights to her then 2-year-old child were terminated.

On June 15, 2016, the alleged maltreater's parental rights to her then 6-year-old, 4-year-old, and 2-year-old children were terminated.

On August 12, 2015, another agency screened-in a Services Report.

On July 20, 2015, another agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the alleged maltreater's unborn child. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated. A Child in Need of Protection or Services Petition was filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On March 3, 2015, another agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the alleged maltreater's unborn child. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of unborn child abuse was unsubstantiated. The family was provided with referrals to community resources and the case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On November 11, 2014, another agency screened-in for alternative response a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the alleged maltreater's unborn child. The agency determined that services were not needed, and the case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On October 29, 2014, another agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the alleged maltreater's unborn child. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation was unsubstantiated. The family was provided with referrals to community resources and the case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On November 21, 2013, another agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the alleged maltreater's then 4-year-old, 2-year-old, and 5-month-old. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegations of neglect were substantiated. Child in Need of Protection or Services Petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On October 31, 2013, another agency screened-in a Services Report. The family was provided with referrals to community resources and the case was closed.

On October 21, 2013, the agency screened-in a Services Report. The family was provided with referrals to community resources and the case was closed.

**Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater.** (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened

The following history is applicable to the infant's mother:

On August 8, 2018, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

On May 9, 2017, the infant's mother's parental rights to her then 6-year-old child were terminated.

On March 31, 2010, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated. The case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

The following history is applicable to the infant's father:

On August 8, 2018, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

The following history is applicable to the alleged maltreater, the infant's unlicensed child care provider, who was caring for the infant at the time of the incident:

On August 7, 2018, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse to the alleged maltreater's infant by the alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On August 3, 2018, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging neglect to an unrelated child in the alleged maltreater's care. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was substantiated. The case was closed upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On February 7, 2018, the agency screened-out a CPS Report.

On February 7, 2018, the alleged maltreater's parental rights to her then 2-year-old child were terminated.

On June 15, 2016, the alleged maltreater's parental rights to her then 6-year-old, 4-year-old, and 2-year-old children were terminated.

On October 21, 2013, the agency screened-in a Services Report. The family was provided with referrals to community resources and the case was closed.

On October 11, 2013, the agency screened-out a Services Report.

On April 23, 2008 the agency screened-out a Services Report.

**Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:**

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the infant by his unlicensed child care provider. The Medical Examiner's Office determined that the infant had suffered multiple injuries to his head and body that were non-accidental in nature. The infant's 1-year-old brother was determined to be safe with his mother and father and remained in his home. The infant's child care provider was criminally charged and court ordered to have no contact with the infant's family. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment. The infant's unlicensed child care provider's 5-month-old biological infant was determined to be unsafe, taken in to Temporary Physical Custody and placed in a foster home. A Child in Need of Protection or Services Petition was filed and the case was opened to provide ongoing case management services.

**B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:**

**Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:**

N/A

**Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:**

N/A

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

**Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident:** (Check all that apply.)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report   | <input type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented   | <input type="checkbox"/> Referral to services                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted   | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) | <input type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Placement into foster home   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case remains open for services           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement with relatives  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case closed by agency                    |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> Initiated efforts to address or enhance community   |

Ongoing Services case management

collaboration on CA/N cases

Other (describe):

---

**FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:**

**Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident:**

N/A

**Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review:**

N/A

---

Yes  No  Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.