

90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number: 180202-DSP-MILW-802 **Agency:** Division of Milwaukee Child Protective Services

Child Information (at time of incident)

Age: 18 months Gender: Female Male

Race or Ethnicity: White

Special Needs: None

Date of Incident: 02/02/2018

Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:

On February 2, 2018, the agency received a report regarding an 18-month-old infant brought to the hospital with multiple injuries. Medical professionals who examined the infant determined the injuries were consistent with non-accidental head trauma. Law enforcement was contacted and initiated a criminal investigation. As a result of law enforcement's investigation, the infant's unlicensed daycare provider was arrested and criminally charged with Child Abuse – Recklessly Cause Great Harm and Neglecting a Child (Consequence is Bodily Harm). A criminal charge is merely an allegation and a defendant is presumed innocent until and unless proven guilty.

Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the infant by the unlicensed daycare provider. Medical professionals determined the infant sustained injuries consistent with non-accidental head trauma. The agency determined that the infant and the infant's 6-year-old brother were safe in their mother and father's care and they remained in the home with their parents. The unlicensed daycare provider was court ordered to have no contact with the infant and the infant's parents. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

Yes No Criminal investigation pending or completed?

Yes No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom? The infant's unlicensed daycare provider

Child's residence at the time of incident: In-home Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:

Description of the child's family (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):

At the time of the incident, the infant resided with his mother, father, and 6-year-old brother.

Yes No **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:

N/A

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

There is no prior history for the infant or infant's family.

Following is history for the infant's unlicensed daycare provider:

On March 9, 2017, the agency screened-in a CPS Report to assess for threat of harm of physical abuse to the alleged maltreater's biological children, as a result of the March 8, 2017 CPS Report alleging that an infant was physically abused in her daycare. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On March 8, 2017, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse to an unrelated infant in the unlicensed daycare provider's home. The unlicensed daycare provider was named as an alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was substantiated by an unknown maltreater. The unrelated infant's family received services and the case was closed.

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an Initial Assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

There is no prior history for the infant or infant's family.

Following is history for the infant's unlicensed daycare provider:

On March 9, 2017, the agency screened-in a CPS Report to assess for threat of harm of physical abuse to the alleged maltreater's biological children, as a result of the March 8, 2017 CPS Report alleging that an infant was physically abused in her daycare. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

On March 8, 2017, the agency screened-in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse to an unrelated infant in the unlicensed daycare provider's home. The unlicensed daycare provider was named as an alleged maltreater. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of physical abuse was substantiated by an unknown maltreater. The unrelated infant's family received services and the case was closed.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the infant by the unlicensed daycare provider. Medical professionals determined the infant sustained injuries consistent with non-accidental head trauma. The agency determined that the infant and the infant's 6-year-old brother were safe in their mother and father's care and they remained in the home with their parents. The unlicensed daycare provider was court ordered to have no contact with the infant and the infant's parents. The agency closed the case upon completion of the Initial Assessment.

B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

N/A

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report | <input type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented | <input type="checkbox"/> Referral to services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) | <input type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement into foster home | <input type="checkbox"/> Case remains open for services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placement with relatives | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Case closed by agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing Services case management | <input type="checkbox"/> Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe): |

FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident:

N/A

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review:

N/A

Yes No Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.