

90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number: 120321DSP-Keno-190 Agency: Kenosha County DCFS

Scope of DSP Review of Incident

- No Review. The information contained in this report was provided by the agency.
 90-Day Review

Child Information (at time of incident)

Age: 17 months Gender: Female Male

Race or Ethnicity: African American

Special Needs: None

Date of Incident: 03/21/2012

Description of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:

The agency received a report that a 17 month old was flown Flight for Life from Kenosha Memorial Hospital to Children's Hospital where he underwent abdominal surgery. The child had a perforated duodenum and a hematoma in the surrounding tissue of the intestine. He also had bruising on his belly and eyelid and a red mark on his back. The injuries were life threatening, likely caused by abuse rather than accidental. His mother reported that early in the morning the child was laughing, dancing and watching cartoons before she took him day care. When she picked him up from the day care around 12:30 pm, she noticed that he appeared tired and uncomfortable. When she tried to put him down for a nap, he continued to be fussy. She gave him some juice and he began crying. She took his temperature, which was elevated, contacted the child's father, and the parents took the child to the emergency room. The day care providers were interviewed and reported that the child's behavior was normal while in their care earlier in the day.

Findings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident:

The agency substantiated physical abuse to the child by an unknown maltreater. The child and his sibling were determined to be safe in their parents' care. The day care providers refused to cooperate with the agency during the initial assessment. During their interview with law enforcement, they described the child's day and behavior as normal, denying he was injured while in their care. (See previous section for mother's description of material circumstances.) The agency and law enforcement were unable to determine the cause of the injuries, which were determined to be non-accidental.

- Yes No Criminal investigation pending or completed?
 Yes No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom?

Child's residence at the time of incident: In-home Out-of-home care placement

Complete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident).

A. Children residing at home at the time of the incident:

Description of the child's family (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and/or in the child's family home):

The injured child resides with his mother and his older sibling. The father of the injured child is separated from but shares parenting with the mother. The sibling's father does not have regular visits with his child.

- Yes No **Statement of Services:** Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:

N/A

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the

previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

See following section

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater at the age of 18 years or older. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

Parent:

On 2/22/12 the agency received and screened out a CPS Report on the family.

Alleged Maltreater:

On 2/8/08, the agency screened in and investigated a CPS Report alleging physical abuse to a one-year-old child. The day care provider in the current incident was included in the family's assessment, because the child attended the day care owned and operated by the provider. The agency substantiated physical abuse to the child by an unknown maltreater. The child's family received Ongoing Services case management from the agency.

On 9/7/08 the agency screened out a report regarding the same incident that was previously reported on 2/8/08 and investigated.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency screened in and investigated the report of injuries to the child. Physical abuse by an unknown maltreater was substantiated. The child and his sibling were determined safe in their parents' care. The agency requested assistance from the Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare to report to the hospital in Milwaukee to meet with the injured child and his mother, who was staying at the hospital with the child. The child's sibling stayed with relatives during the initial assessment. The parents participated in the assessment, but the day care providers refused to cooperate with the agency during the investigation. Information from the providers was obtained from police interviews and reports. The family is receiving in-home services related to the child's care, and the agency referred the family to other community resources. The case remains open with the agency.

The agency worked with State's child care licenser, who suspended the day care's license and had the day care close while the investigation was pending. Information was gathered regarding the other children attending the center, and their families were contacted as part of the investigation. A notice of intent to revoke (license) was issued by the Bureau of Early Care Regulation. The suspension was overturned, and the day care was allowed to reopen while the final outcome of the investigation is pending.

B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

N/A

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening of Access report | <input type="checkbox"/> Attempted or successful reunification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Protective plan implemented | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referral to services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial assessment conducted | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation assistance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety plan implemented | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with law enforcement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary physical custody of child | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaboration with medical professionals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of | <input type="checkbox"/> Supervised visitation |

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

Division of Safety and Permanence

- protection or services
- Placement into foster home
- Placement with relatives
- Ongoing Services case management

- Case remains open for services
- Case closed by agency
- Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases
- Other (describe): Collaboration with local and State day care licensing agents.

FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified during the review of the incident:

None

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues:

None

Yes No Not Applicable This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this case.

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.

The agency must submit an electronic copy of the completed 90-Day Summary Report to PaulaL.Brown@wisconsin.gov