6 Month Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number:	150829-DSP-BAY-559	Agency:	Bayfield County Department of Human Services
Child Information (at time Age: 2 Years	of incident) Gender: ☐ Fema	ıle 🛚 Male	
Race or Ethnicity: Cauca Special Needs: None	sian		
Date of Incident: 08/29/	/2015		
On August 31, 2015, the home after being run ove	agency received a report indication by a trailer. Law enforcement w	ng neglect o	or egregious abuse or neglect: of a 2 year old boy who was pronounced deceased at his d and initiated a criminal investigation regarding the child's ave been filed in this case, which has been closed by Law
The agency collaborated found insufficient eviden surviving siblings safe an	with law enforcement to comple ace to substantiate neglect of the	te the assess	sment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency mother and father. The agency determined the child's r. The family was referred to services and the case remained
	investigation pending or completed? charges filed? If yes, against whom		
Child's residence at the ti	me of incident: 🛛 In-home 🔲 C	out-of-home	care placement
	ollowing section (A. or B. based on the nome at the time of the incident:	ie child's resi	dence at the time of the incident).
Description of the ch and / or in the child's fa		mbers, nonci	ustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child
	-	mother and	father, 3 sisters (ages 6, 13, and 18) and 2 brothers (ages 7
			or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's rals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of
person(s) receiving the At the time of the inc	nose services: eident, the family was being provi	ded child w	t between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the relfare services and referral/ case management services. The ster during a home visit on August 15, 2015.
five years: (Does not	vement in services as adults under include the current incident.) the agency screened out a Service		h. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous
_	he agency screened in a Services ase management services.	Report. The	e family was referred to services and the case remained open
On March 27, 2015,	the agency screened in a Juvenile	· Justice ref	erral.
On February 24, 201	5, the agency screened out a CPS	Report.	

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened in a Services report. The family was referred to services and the case remained

DCF-F-2585-E (R. 04/2014)

open to provide ongoing case management services.

On December 2, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 1, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On May 7, 2014, the agency screened in a Juvenile Justice Report.

On August 19, 2013, the agency screened in a Juvenile Justice Report.

On August 13, 2013, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 12, 2012, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 13 year old sister. The allegation of neglect by the mother and father was substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 8, 2011, the agency Screened in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse of the child's now 18 year old sister by an unknown maltreater. The allegation of sexual abuse but an unknown maltreater was substantiated. The case was closed.

On June 2, 2011, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18, 13, and 6 year old sisters, and 12 and 7 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On January 4, 2011, the agency screened in a Services Report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

On August 6, 2015, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On August 5, 2015, the agency screened in a Services Report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened out a CPS Report.

On February 24, 2015, the agency screened in a Services report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On December 2, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 1, 2014, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On August 13, 2013, the agency screened out a Services Report.

On December 12, 2012, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 13 year old sister. The allegation of neglect by the mother and father was substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 8, 2011, the agency Screened in a CPS Report alleging sexual abuse of the child's now 18 year old sister by an unknown maltreater. The allegation of sexual abuse but an unknown maltreater was substantiated. The case was closed.

On June 2, 2011, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18, 13, and 6 year old sisters, and 12 and 7 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection and Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On January 4, 2011, the agency screened in a Services Report. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On July 30, 2009, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging physical abuse of the child's now 12 year old brother, and unborn child abuse of the child's now 6 year old sister. The allegation of physical abuse was unsubstantiated and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated. An Unborn Child in Need of Protection and Services petition was filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On March 30, 2009, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging neglect of the child's now 12 and 7 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect were unsubstantiated and the case was closed.

On January 29, 2009, the agency screened in a services report. The family was referred to services.

On September 9, 2008, the agency screened out a services report.

On October 8, 2007, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging unborn child abuse to the child's now 7 year old brother. The allegations of unborn child abuse were substantiated, an Unborn Child in Need of Protection and Services petition was filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On November 2, 2006, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13 year old sisters and 12 year old brother. The allegations of neglect were substantiated and Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On October 9, 2006, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13 year old sisters, and 12 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother and father were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On June 23, 2005, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 and 13 year old sisters, now 12 and 10 year old brothers. The allegations of neglect by the mother and father were substantiated, Child in Need of Protection or Services petitions were filed and the case remained open to provide ongoing case management.

On August 11, 2004, the agency screened in a CPS report alleging the neglect of the child's now 18 year old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated. The case remained open to provide ongoing case management services.

On December 8, 2003 the agency screened in a services report. The family was referred to services.

On October 10, 2003, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging the neglect of the child's now 18 year old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated.

On January 31, 2003, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging unborn child abuse of the child's now 12 year old brother. An assessment was completed the agency and the allegation of unborn child abuse was substantiated.

On May 29, 2001, the agency screened in a CPS Report alleging neglect of the child's now 18 year old sister. An assessment was completed by the agency and the allegation of neglect was unsubstantiated.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found insufficient evidence to substantiate neglect of the child by his mother and father. The agency determined the child's surviving siblings safe and they remained with their mother and father. The family was referred to services and the case remained open to provide voluntary case management services..

B. Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

Sum	mary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident Screening of Access report Protective plan implemented Initial assessment conducted Safety plan implemented Temporary physical custody of child Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) Placement into foster home Placement with relatives Ongoing Services case management	: (Che	Attempted or successful reunification Referral to services Transportation assistance Collaboration with law enforcement Collaboration with medical professionals Supervised visitation Case remains open for services Case closed by agency Initiated efforts to address or enhance community collaboration on CA/N cases Other (describe):		
FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN: Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident: Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the Department of Children and Families' (DCF) Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) completes in an initial review the agency's practice for each case reported under the Act. A further practice review has been completed for case #150829-DSP-BAY-559. As a result of this review process, the DSP determined the agency implemented action steps to improve the consistency and quality of safety assessment and decision-making practices.					
reco Base	ommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or rd or on-site review: ed on the agency's effort and response to this incident and DSF mmended.				
⊠ Y	res No Not Applicable This 6-Month Final summary report this case.	t comp	letes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on		