## **DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES**

Division of Safety and Permanence

## 6-Month Final Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Nu	ımber:	120704DSP-0	Ocon-329	Agency:	Oconto County Department of Health and Human Services
Child Information Age: 5	(at time	of incident)	Gender: 🛛 I	Female 🔲 i	Male
Race or Ethnicity:	White	, Caucasian			_
Special Needs:	None Re	eported			
Date of Incident:	05/14/	2013			
Description of the	e inciden	t, including the	e suspected ca	use of death	, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:
and failure to the father refused to the father was cri Harm to Child, C Degree Reckless	ive. Me pursue r iminally Child Ab Injury, a	dical profession medical treatm charged with use-Recklessly and 1st-Degree	onals advised then as advised 2nd Degree Roy Cause Harm, e Recklessly E	hat the child by a medic eckless Inju , 4 counts N Endangering	ild was admitted to a hospital for hypothermia, low heart rate d was significantly underweight for her age and the child's al professional. As a result of law enforcement's investigation ry, 2 counts False Imprisonment, 2 counts Causing Mental eglecting a Child (Consequence is Great Bodily Harm), 1st Safety two felony counts of Neglecting a Child (Consequence and a defendant is presumed innocent until and unless prover
The agency colla completed by the child's biological result of the negl Protection or Ser	borated e agency l sister b ect. The rvices pe	with law enformation found a preport the father are sibling and the cition and the cition and the cition and the cition are sibling and the cition and the cition and the cition are sibling	rcement and monderance of the order the step monder father's girl case is open for	nedical persone evidence ther. The ch friend's son or on-going	terial circumstances leading to incident:  connel to complete the assessment. The Initial Assessment to substantiate the allegations of neglect to the child and the ild was placed with a relative due to her physical condition as a remained in the home. The agency filed a Child in Need of services.
			nding or comple If yes, against w		ny charges are pending against the father.
Child's residence	at the ti	me of incident:	: 🛛 In-home	Out-of-ho	ome care placement
	=	-	(A. or B. based		s residence at the time of the incident).
		ild's family (inc ld's family home		d members, r	noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the
	gical sis	ster, and the fa			h her father, the father's girlfriend (who is now the spouse), the child's mother had very little contact with the child during
					48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time
		ibe the type of nose services:	services, date	(s) of last co	ntact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the
			ces as adults use the current income		or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

1/8/08 the agency screened in a CPS report alleging sexual abuse to the child by the father. The allegations were unsubstantiated, the children were found to be safe and the case was closed. The family was encouraged to continue counseling.

On 1/26/10 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 3/11/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 8/2/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 8/19/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 11/18/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 12/1/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 12/8/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 12/21/11 a CPS report was screened-out.

On 7/10/12 a report received by the agency regarding current allegation; however this was not documented in the statewide automated child welfare system or assessed by the agency at the direction of the previous CPS Supervisor.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency screened in and assessed an allegation of neglect to the children in May, 2013. The Initial Assessment completed by the county agency found was a preponderance of evidence to substantiate neglect to the child and her sibling by the father and the step-mother. The child was placed with a maternal aunt due to her physical condition as a result of the neglect.

The agency screened in and assessed the allegation of physical abuse and neglect to the child's sibling regarding an incident that occurred on 7/4/2012 and was reported on 8/20/2013. Physical abuse and neglect to the child's sibling by the child's father and step-mother were substantiated. The father and the step-mother were arrested for multiple felony charges. The father remains incarcerated. The agency filed a Child in Need of Protection or Services petition and the case is open for ongoing services.

## B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description	of the OHC	nlacomont	and basis	for decision	to place	child there
Describtion	of the UHC	Diacement	and basis	tor aecision	to blace	chila there

N/A

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

O	(Ob   -           -   -   -       )
Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident:	(Check all that apply.)
O	Attached an acceptable acception

<u> </u>	Screening of Access report		Attempted of successful reunification
$\boxtimes$	Protective plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Referral to services
$\boxtimes$	Initial assessment conducted	$\boxtimes$	Transportation assistance
$\boxtimes$	Safety plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with law enforcement
$\boxtimes$	Temporary physical custody of child	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with medical professionals
$\boxtimes$	Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of		Supervised visitation
	protection or services)	$\boxtimes$	Case remains open for services
	Placement into foster home		Case closed by agency
$\boxtimes$	Placement with relatives		Initiated efforts to address or enhance community

FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:		
Summary of policy or practice char	nges to address issues identified during the review of the incident:	
Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the Department of Children and Families' (DCF) Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) completes in an initial review the agency's practice for each case reported under the Act. The DSP completed an on-site review in case #120101DSP-Ocon-329. As a result of this review process, the DSP determined the agency implemented steps to improve CPS Access screening and safety assessment and decision-making practices.		
Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues:  Based on the agency's effort and response to this incident and DSP review, no further statewide policy, practice, or statutory changes are recommended.		
Yes No Not Applicable	This 6-month summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this case.	

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.

collaboration on CA/N cases

Other (describe):

 $\boxtimes$ 

Ongoing Services case management