

#### **GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON DOMESTIC ABUSE**

Domestic Abuse Treatment Standards Review Committee

MEETING MINUTES

10/23/25

# Meeting was held virtually

#### **Council Members Present**

None

#### **Committee Members Present**

Gary Zajc Julie Valadez Kevin Hamberger Melody Hansen Darrald Hansa

#### DCF Staff:

Stacey Cicero

### **Call to Order, Welcome and Introductions**

### **Topics Discussed**

Gary welcomed the group and reviewed the documents shared following the previous meeting.

The primary goal of this session was to:

- Finalize the agenda for the upcoming full-day meeting.
- Begin aligning around revised core values for domestic violence intervention programming.
- Identify follow-up items and next steps, including drafting assignments and a review timeline.

### 1. Agenda for the Full-Day Meeting

The proposed structure for the full day working session includes two major components:

# **Morning: Establishing Core Values**

- Review and update values from the 2007 standards.
- Ensure the values reflect:
  - Gender-informed and intersectional approaches.
  - Inclusivity of populations currently underserved or misunderstood.
  - Trauma-informed, evidence-informed, and equity-focused frameworks.

## **Afternoon: Application and Implementation**

- Translate values into standards.
- 2. Philosophical Framing and Key Themes
- A. Values as Anchors
- B. Importance of Inclusive Language and Approaches

The updated standards must be better reflected.

# 3. Defining Accountability and Success

### **Discussion Highlights:**

- Accountability should include:
  - Survivor feedback.
  - Partner and community engagement.
  - Behavioral change and emotional regulation.
  - Program completion alone is insufficient to define success.
- Consider if outcome definitions differ when children are involved.
- Programming should take parenting status into account.

### 4. Holistic and Systemic Approaches

# **Wraparound Services and Systems Coordination**

- The group discussed the need for holistic support
- Concern was raised about uninformed or harmful interventions from other providers.

# 5. Cultural Responsiveness and Community Engagement

### Gary and others emphasized:

- Standards must promote culturally grounded programming.
- One-size-fits-all models are ineffective.
- Values and curricula should reflect community norms, family dynamics, and culturally specific practices.
- Curriculum should reflect diverse understandings of family, discipline, and accountability.

### 6. Ethical Standards and Data Collection

# Gary introduced a proposal to add:

- Explicit ethical standards for those facilitating DV programs.
- Guidance on managing conflicts of interest.
- Involvement of survivors in program design and evaluation.
- Encouragement (or requirement) of data collection beyond recidivism.

# 7. Drafting Plan and Stakeholder Feedback

# **Next Steps:**

- Assign drafting responsibilities for standard revisions.
- Set a timeline for returning draft language.
- Gather broader stakeholder input after drafts are complete.

#### Rationale:

- Draft-first model allows for more efficient and inclusive stakeholder engagement.
- Review process will help build buy-in and ensure quality across sectors.

## 8. Planning the Full-Day Virtual Meeting

### **Final Decision:**

Date: Friday, November 21

• **Time:** 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM

Location: Virtual (Zoom or equivalent)

• **Purpose:** Deep dive into value alignment, draft revision topics, and working group formation.

#### 9. Comparative Models and Resources

## 10. Closing Remarks

Attendees expressed appreciation for the thoughtful planning and collaborative tone.

- Recognized the complexity of the work but affirmed its importance and potential impact.
- Encouraged continued engagement and stakeholder involvement throughout the drafting process.

# <u>Adjourn</u>