Phone: 608-422-7000

Fax: 608-422-7158



DCF 57 Advisory Council Meeting - MINUTES July 22, 2025 1:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

DCF Staff			
Name	Present	Name	Present
Katie Davis	х	Elaine Pridgen	
Dana Johnson	х	Shelby McCulley	
Kristie Buwalda	х	John Elliott	
Mary Morse	Х	Amy Bryant	
Rob Collins	Х	Emily Erickson	х
Rachel Nili		Jeanette Paules	х
Dustin Hinze	Х	Frances Bass	

Member	Present	Nominating Organization
Kathy Markeland	Х	WAFCA
Mary Beth Dugan		Nehemiah Group Home
Dave Fretz	Х	Forward Home for Boys
India Hansen		Office of Lived Experience @ DCF
Brian Peil		DOC – Juvenile corrections
Dr. Maurice Johnson		Moe's Transitional Living Center (COA)
Lisa Netterville (iPhone 2)	Х	New Hope & Destiny Home II (COA)
Caylee Nichols	Х	Positive Alternatives
Audra O'Connell		Walker's Point GH (homeless program)
Jennifer Pester		Office of Lived Experience
Jill Collins		DMCPS
Lisa Pendleton	Х	WAFCA (Winnebago County)
Stephen Bedwell		WAFCA (Racine County)
Amy Rodriguez		DOC
Sandy Plascencia Karri	Х	WAFCA

Attachments:

- DCF 57 Rule Revisions July 2025 AC Handout This includes proposed language changes to DCF 57 with questions for review by the Advisory Council.
- 7.22.25 Advisory Council Meeting Agenda Meeting agenda
- DCF 57 Overview of Proposed Changes Presentation_AC Handout 2 Proposed DCF 57 changes overview presentation with information of rule areas most affected.

AGENDA

- Call to Order, Welcome, and Introductions. (Dana Johnson DCF)
 - See attendance roster above.
 - Agenda and minutes from last meeting were attached to the meeting invite.
- ❖ Overview DCF 57 proposed changes & Feedback & Questions it should be noted that all elements in this sections are <u>potential</u> changes at this time, none have been formally approved by the Secretary or Governor.
 - Rule sections with potential changes
 - Asked about liability amount for insurance. No limit is listed in the draft rule.
 - Positive feedback on personnel section don't need to get exceptions for 18- or 19year-olds who have lived experience.
 - Clarified qualifications of director and manager related to age, degrees and experience.
 - Will need to complete the traineeship + orientation before staff can work independently.
 - Asked for clarification regarding the CPR, AED and first aid training qualify.
 - Diagram what credentials could be carried over to be approved for employment.
 - Asked about the anti-human trafficking training being transferrable if coming from another group home.
 - Question about the unplanned and planned discharge distinction. Is not included in RCC or DCF 56 rule.
 - Searches discussion. Reviewed the previous memo issued and added it into the rule.
 - Highlighted the incorporation of hair care, regarding consent. No feedback at this time.
 - No feedback on 28-day limit for respite. Important to have parameters around respite.
 - No feedback on sleeping arrangements.
 - Helpful to have flexibility in square footage requirements.
 - > DCF provided a set of questions for feedback and response at the meeting:
 - What are the things you believe a staff member should be competent in besides training listed in 57.12?
 - No comments.
 - Regarding background checks: What should be the requirements for background checks and even training for a contractor who comes in and provides a therapeutic group in the group home?
 - Concerned that persons are providing a service and now need to upload them into PIE.
 - Removed the requirement for a TB skin test to be completed upon employment.
 Should we include in the medical information that they disclose they have a communicable disease.

- All group homes have nurses that can determine if communicable disease is a concern; can the nurse who works at the group home allowed to attest to this.
- Recommendation: all references to communicable disease be removed.
- Concerns with scheduling the medical evaluation within 30 days of a youth entering the facility?
 - No comment
- Discussion re: 18+ year old residents.
 - If they started their school year and are now turned 21; can they stay in care to complete their year of school? Yes.
 - This may be outside the scope of the group home rule. FLAG for DCF policy team: It is tied to rule on how old a person may be and still qualify for out of home care.
- > Feedback on planned vs. unplanned discharge.
 - No feedback
- Prevent access to the premises by a person who may be considered dangerous, language was added.
 - No feedback
- Space requirements for all areas of the group home were removed.
 - No feedback
- > Needs determination process may be changing, reviewed high-level potential changes and overall thinking of DCF policy team:
 - Collaboration with partners on identifying need on a statewide basis. Goal is to
 determine where we need resources using data, placement rates, etc. This ties
 into DCF requirement to certify that there is a need for additional capacity.
 - ACTION ITEM: Could current providers provide feedback on need by taking the information from the meeting and asking their colleagues?
- > What if all NEW group homes, after the rule is implemented, were required to have QRTP certification?
 - ACTION ITEM: Could current providers provide feedback on need by taking the information from the meeting and asking their colleagues?
- ❖ Next steps
 - ACTION ITEM: Review handout questions and ask colleagues for feedback on areas we discussed.
- ❖ Adjourn