



Wisconsin Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force
Department of Children and Families and
Department of Justice
Wisconsin State Bar Center
5302 Eastpark Boulevard, Madison, WI 53718
December 7, 2016
2:00-4:00 PM
Monona & Mendota Rooms



MEETING RECORD

Topic		Who	Notes
I.	Welcome and Introductions 2:00-2:05	Eloise Anderson	Secretary Anderson began the meeting with a welcome statement, and noted that this is the last meeting of the 2016 calendar year. She then turned the meeting over to Attorney General Schimel to introduce the first workgroup presentation.
II.	Presentation by Placement and Services Workgroup 2:05-2:50	AG Schimel	<p>Attorney General Schimel noted that the Placement and Services Workgroup would give the first presentation. They discussed preliminary results from a survey that was distributed to service providers throughout Wisconsin and introduced a draft of Core and Advanced Competencies for working with youth who have been sex trafficked. The following two co-chairs and a workgroup member gave this presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dan Magnuson, President of Lad Lake • Steve Gilbertson, Clinical Program Manager for Wraparound Milwaukee • Claudine O’Leary, Rethink Resources <p>Please see the attached PP slides for more information on their presentation.</p> <p>The floor was then opened for discussion by Task Force members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secretary Anderson expressed concerns with the responses on the survey for eligibility criteria. She asked if we need to bring in new organizations or train existing ones on how to handle these issues. Steve Gilbertson indicated that he feels that educating the providers is the way to go. • Attorney General Schimel asked what an agency legally could do about parental consent? Steve Gilbertson stated that you must pursue parental consent but the youth are not disqualified if you cannot locate the parent. Tim Baack indicated that they only have 12 hours that they can work with youth without parental consent. Issues emerge when the parent is the trafficker. Judge Mike Dwyer expressed that he was impressed by Minnesota’s policy that they can provide services to youth for 14 days without consent. • Tia Medley raised concerns regarding the survey sample, particularly the lack of medical providers. She also wanted to know about the school data. Dr. Joy Ippolito indicated that the workgroup is asking McKinney-Vento liaisons if they are seeing human trafficking cases in their schools; however, schools would not be providing the types of services we asked about in the

Topic	Who	Notes
		<p>survey and thus they were surveyed more for informational purposes (e.g., are they aware of this as an issue). Health centers and hospitals will be surveyed in early 2017 once a list of these providers is secured. Joy also noted that participation in the survey was voluntary, so focusing on those participants who took the time to respond may indicate that they are interested in this topic and we can focus our education/awareness efforts on them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Joy also indicated that there is information that was not provided to the Task Force from the survey at this time. DCF will be working to geocode the service providers who responded to create an interactive online map. More information will be available in spring 2017. Libby Mueller asked Tim Baack, if it would it help if hours were extended to 48 hours versus 14 hours. Tim stated that would help. Claudine asked for feedback from Task Force members on core competencies by Feb 15th 2017. Task Force members are requested to send their feedback directly to Joy. <p>Initial Reactions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nancy liked that it does not require entire agency to be trained in the core competencies so an agency can still provide the services with only one specialized individual at the agency. Secretary Anderson asked how they envision services working with only one individual. Claudine indicated that networking is a way to accomplish this task. Attorney General Schimel indicated that next year's school resource officer conference will be discussing sex trafficking and may be a place for us to target.
<p>III. Presentation by Screening and Identification Workgroup</p> <p>2:50-3:35</p>	<p>Eloise Anderson</p>	<p>Secretary Anderson introduced the next presentation, given by the co-chairs of the Screening and Identification Workgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tim Baack, Executive Director of Pathfinders Emily Erickson, Child Welfare Policy Section Chief for the Bureau of Safety & Well-Being in the Department of Children and Families <p>They presented their recommendations on the screening and identification tools to be used throughout Wisconsin and the process by which they came to these recommendations.</p> <p>Please see the attached PP slides for more information on their presentation.</p> <p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sr. Marlene Weisenbeck asked about the value of open ended questions on the screening tool and whether you could get more information by asking them in a different way. Tim Baack indicated that there are pros and cons to open ended and closed questions. One thing learned is there is no right or wrong, some people really want as many more open ended questions as it helps with engagement. Yes/no questions are better for efficiency. Steve Elliott indicated that it depends on the discipline asking the questions—someone in law enforcement trained in this would know how to get at the answer without needing to ask

Topic	Who	Notes
		<p>it as a closed question. Screening/assessment discussion helped get at the importance of type of questions in trafficking cases. Safety questions were more yes/no, the rest were open ended. Need to strike balance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superintendent Tony Evers asked how these screening tools intersect with other requirements like mandated reporting. Emily Erickson indicated that there was a lot of discussion around this, which is why confidentiality statements are important when implementing the tools. Need to help a mandated reporter decide when they need to make a report. No conclusion determined through this workgroup as it should be accomplished through the training and implementation workgroups. • Claudine O’Leary suggested that the data workgroup could possibly track youth who were deemed at risk by the indicator tool who were later identified as trafficked. Tim indicated that the tools will help us get there. Screening tool question #22, people would not know the information to ask to get the information needed. Emily Erickson stated this is what would fall under “Wisconsinizing” and involving youth voice in the phrasing of questions. • A question was asked about where are these kids (detention, police station, etc) that are being interviewed in screening tool? The response was that this was not the charge of identification and screening group. This is more of an implementation issue that will need to be discussed. The workgroup considered the MN Safe Harbor flow chart level the first level and then more advanced providers would use the Louisiana screening tool. Competencies important to use screening tool but could be used by a variety of disciplines. • Nancy Irizarry indicated that she liked how the workgroup distinguished/separated tools by type. Next level has core competencies needed for screening. Could require agencies to build in a fidelity piece to move towards validation or evidence of effectiveness? Are agencies serving in the way they should or need to? • Jodi Emerson indicated that there is no labor trafficking on the recommended tools. Secretary Anderson indicated that we are a sex trafficking task force and have a narrow focus. May review the focus in the future. • Claudine indicated that she supports these tools if the stated modifications are made (“Wisconsinize” on the PP slides). <p>Secretary Anderson asked Task Force members for motion to accept the recommended tools. The Task Force accepted the tools.</p>
<p>IV. Updates 3:35-3:50</p>	<p>AG Schimel Dr. Joy Ippolito</p>	<p><u>Workgroups:</u> Attorney General Schimel noted that the other Workgroup updates were distributed via handout. He also announced that the Prevention and Public Awareness Workgroup has a new co-chair: Penny Nevicosi, Juvenile Justice Supervisor for Rock County.</p> <p>The Attorney General then noted that we have developed some changes for workgroups and asked Dr. Joy Ippolito to explain. Joy explained to the Task Force that her visits to the five workgroups, coupled with several</p>

Topic	Who	Notes
		<p>workgroups completing or nearing completion of their charges, suggested that a new workgroup would be helpful to keep this work moving forward. It will be called the Implementation Advisory Workgroup. Members will give feedback to protocols developed by state agencies and input on the implementation of deliverables created by the Task Force. There will be more announcements about this in early 2017, including sending emails to invited members.</p> <p><u>DCF updates:</u> Joy gave an update on anti-human trafficking programming under development, including issuance of RFPs for first regional hub and prevention/public awareness campaign. More information is available on Wisconsin Vendornet.</p> <p><u>DOJ updates:</u> None</p> <p>There were no other updates from Task Force Members.</p>
<p>V. 2017 Meeting Dates</p> <p>3:50-3:55</p>	<p>Eloise Anderson</p>	<p>Secretary Anderson announced the date and time for the next meeting as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tuesday, March 21st from 10:00 AM-12:00 PM at the Wisconsin State Bar, 5302 East Park Blvd, Madison WI. <p>Joy will send out a scheduler for this meeting within the next couple of days. Additional <i>tentative</i> dates for 2017 are as follows; all times are tentatively 10 AM – 12 PM. Joy will email the Task Force when the dates and times have been confirmed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thursday, June 15th • Thursday, September 14th • Thursday, December 14th
<p>VI. Public Comment</p> <p>3:55-4:00</p>	<p>AG Schimel</p>	<p>Attorney General Schimel asked if there were any members of the public who would like to comment.</p> <p>Rachel Monaco-Wilcox from the Lotus Legal Clinic in Milwaukee asked that the Task Force consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asking youth “have you ever been trafficked?” versus “are you currently being trafficked?” Those who have been previously trafficked still at risk and need services. • Whoever is in charge of naming the core competencies, it is important to note that what you call it will impact how it is used.
<p>Adjournment</p>	<p>AG Schimel</p>	<p>Attorney General Schimel thanked the workgroups and Task Force members for their hard work in 2016 and indicated that we look forward to continuing this work together in 2017.</p>

Task Force Members Present:

Eloise Anderson
Brad Schimel
Joy Ippolito
Tim Baack
Dawn Buchholz
Pamela Cotton
Michael Dwyer

Steve Elliott
Jodi Emerson
Tony Evers
Steve Gilbertson
Ramona Gonzalez (phone)
Jim Valley on behalf of John Gossage
Linda Hall
Nancy Irizarry
Fred Johnson (phone)

Dana Dorn (phone)

Dan Magnuson
Georgia Maxwell (phone)
Tia Medley
Ian Henderson on behalf of Pennie Meyers
Jan Miyasaki (phone)
Karine Moreno-Taxman (phone)
Libby Mueller
Claudine O'Leary
Julie Pfluger
Angela Rabbitt
Mariana Rodriguez
Diane Rondini-Harness
Adrienne Roach on behalf of Patti Seger
Marlene Weisenbeck

Others Present:

Mike Austin
Fred Bove
Emily Erickson
Paul Hestekind
Michelle Zaccard
Jarrett Lockett
Penny Nevicosi
Pam Carper
Ashley Nischke
Lauren Heiden
Stephanie Reilly
Nic Dibble
Jessica Awe
Ron Hermes
Amber Peterson
Rachel Monaco-Wilcox
Jonelle Brom
Jillian Falligant
Michelle Buehl
Robin Joseph
Jeanette Paules
Allison Budzinski
Jane Penner-Hoppe
Charlene Mouille
Miriam Falk
Morgan Young
Shira Phelps
Kimber Blum
Lindsay Wood
Wendy Henderson
Rebecca Ballweg
Michelle Dubois
Karri Hemmig (phone)
