

CSBG State Plan

Program Name: Community Services Block Grant

Grantee Name: STATE OF WISCONSIN

Report Name: CSBG State Plan Revision # 1

Report Period: 10/01/2025 to 09/30/2026

Report Status: Submitted (Revision #1)

Report Sections

- 1. CSBG Cover Page (SF-424M)**
- 2. Section 1: CSBG Lead Agency, CSBG Authorized Official, CSBG Point of Contact, and Official State Designation Letter**
- 3. Section 2: State Legislation and Regulation**
- 4. Section 3: State Plan Development and Statewide Goals**
- 5. Section 4: CSBG Hearing Requirements**
- 6. Section 5: CSBG Eligible Entities**
- 7. Section 6: Organizational Standards for Eligible Entities**
- 8. Section 7: State Use of Funds**
- 9. Section 8: State Training and Technical Assistance**
- 10. Section 9: State Linkages and Communication**
- 11. Section 10: Monitoring, Corrective Action, and Fiscal Controls**
- 12. Section 11: Eligible Entity Tripartite Board**
- 13. Section 12: Individual and Community Eligibility Requirements**
- 14. Section 13: Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System**
- 15. Section 14: CSBG Programmatic Assurances and Information Narrative**
- 16. Section 15: Federal Certifications**

CSBG Cover Page (SF-424M)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Administration for Children and Families Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)		Form Approved OMB No: 0970-0382 Expires: 08/31/2028	
COVER PAGE			
* 1.a. Type of Submission: ☐ Application ☒ Plan ☐ Other (2 Year)	* 1.b. Frequency: ☐ Annual ☒ Other (2 Year)	* 1.c. Consolidated Application/Plan/Funding Request? Explanation: 2. Date Received: 3. Applicant Identifier: 4a. Federal Entity Identifier: 4b. Federal Award Identifier:	* 1.d. Version: ☒ Initial ☐ Resubmission ☐ Revision ☐ Update State Use Only: 5. Date Received By State: 6. State Application Identifier:
7. APPLICANT INFORMATION			
* a. Legal Name: STATE OF WISCONSIN			
* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): 1396006469A1		* c. Organizational UEI: NSEYGAM7UZF6	
* d. Address:			
* Street 1:	201 West Washington Avenue 5th Floor	Street 2:	P.O. BOX 8916
* City:	MADISON	County:	
* State:	WI	Province:	
* Country:	United States	* Zip / Postal Code:	53703 - 8916
e. Organizational Unit:			
Department Name: Department of Children & Families		Division Name:	
f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:			
Prefix:	* First Name: John	Middle Name:	* Last Name: Doudna
Suffix:	Title: CSBG Contract Manager	Organizational Affiliation:	
* Telephone Number: (608) 422-6262	Fax Number:	* Email: john.doudna1@wisconsin.gov	
* 8a. TYPE OF APPLICANT: A: State Government			
b. Additional Description:			
* 9. Name of Federal Agency: Administration for Children and Families, Office of Community Services			
		Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:	CFDA Title:
10. CFDA Numbers and Titles		93569	Community Services Block Grant
11. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project			
12. Areas Affected by Funding:			
13. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:			
* a. Applicant WI		b. Program/Project:	
Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.			
14. FUNDING PERIOD:		15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	
a. Start Date: 10/01/2025	b. End Date: 09/30/2026	* a. Federal (\$): \$0	b. Match (\$): \$0
* 16. IS SUBMISSION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE UNDER EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?			
a. This submission was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372			
Process for Review on :			
b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by State for review.			

c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.	
* 17. Is The Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? ☐ YES ☒ NO	
Explanation:	
18. By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) **I Agree ☒	
** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.	
18a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official Anna Sainsbury	18c. Telephone (area code, number and extension) 18d. Email Address anna.sainsbury@wisconsin.gov
18b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official 	18e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) 08/31/2026
Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.	

Section 1: CSBG Lead Agency, CSBG Authorized Official, CSBG Point of Contact, and Official State Designation Letter

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Administration for Children and Families Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)		Form Approved OMB No:0970-0382 Expires:08/31/2028	
SECTION 1 CSBG Lead Agency, CSBG Authorized Official, CSBG Point of Contact, and Official State Designation Letter			
1.1. Identify whether this is a One-Year or a Two-Year Plan		☐ one-year ☒ two-year	
1.1a. Provide the federal fiscal years this plan covers:		Year One 2026	Year Two 2027
1.2. Lead Agency and Authorized Official: Update the following information in relation to the lead agency and authorized official designated to administer CSBG in the state, as required by Section 676(a) of the CSBG Act. <i>Information should reflect the responses provided in the Application for Federal Assistance, SF-424M.</i>			
Has information regarding the state lead agency and authorized official changed since the last submission of the State Plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No			
If yes, select the fields that have been changed [Check all the apply]			
☐ Lead Agency	☐ Department Type	☐ Department Name	
☐ Authorized Official	☐ Street Address	☐ City	
☐ Zip Code	☐ Office Number	☐ Fax Number	
☐ Email Address	☐ Website		
1.2a. Lead agency		Wisconsin Department of Children and Families	
1.2b. Cabinet or administrative department of this lead agency [Check one and provide a narrative where applicable]			
☐ Community Affairs Department			
☐ Community Services Department			
☐ Governors Office			
☐ Health Department			
☐ Housing Department			
☒ Human Services Department			
☐ Social Services Department			
☐ Other, describe			
1.2c. Cabinet or Administrative Department Name: Provide the name of the cabinet or administrative department of the CSBG authorized official		Secretary's Office	
1.2d. Authorized Official of the Lead Agency			
Name: Jeff Pertl		Title: Secretary	
1.2e. Street Address		201 W Washington Ave.	
1.2f. City		Madison	1.2g. State WI
		1.2h. Zip 53703	
1.2i. Telephone number 608 422 - 7000 ext.		1.2j. Fax number 608 422 - 7163	
1.2k. Email address jeff.pertl@wisconsin.gov		1.2l. Lead agency website www.dcf.wisconsin.gov	
1.3. Designation Letter: Attach the state's official CSBG designation letter. A new designation letter is required if the chief executive officer of the state and/or the designated agency has changed.			
1.4. CSBG Point of Contact: provide the following information in relation to the designated state CSBG point of contact. The state CSBG point of contact should be the person that will be the main point of contact for CSBG within the state.			
Has Information regarding to the state point of contact has changed since the last submission of the State Plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No			

If yes, select the fields that have changed [check all the apply]			
☐	Agency Name	☒	Point of Contact
☐	City	☐	Zip Code
☐	Fax Number	☐	Email Address
☐		☐	Website
1.4a. Agency Name Wisconsin Department of Children and Families			
1.4b Point of Contact Name			
Name: John Doudna		Title: CSBG Contract Manager	
1.4c. Street Address		201 West Washington Avenue	
1.4d. City		Madison	1.4e. StateWI
			1.4f. Zip 53703
1.4g. Telephone Number 414 343 - 5875 ext.		1.4h. Fax Number 608 261 - 6376	
1.4i. Email Address john.doudna1@wisconsin.gov		1.4j. Agency Website www.dcf.wisconsin.gov	
1.5. Provide the following information in relation to the State Community Action Association.			
There is currently a state Community Action Association within the state. ☒ Yes ☐ No			
Has Information regarding the state Community Action Association has changed since the last submission of the State Plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No			
If yes, select the fields that have been changed [Check all the apply]			
☐	Agency Name	☐	Executive Director
☐	City	☐	State
☐	Office Number	☐	Fax Number
☐	Website	☐	RPIC Lead
1.5a. Agency Name Wisconsin Community Action Program Association (WISCAP)			
1.5b. Executive Director or Point of Contact			
Name: Courtney Hayward		Title: Executive Director	
1.5c. Street Address		700 Regent Street, Suite 303	
1.5d. City		Madison	1.5e. StateWI
			1.5f. Zip 53715
1.5g. Telephone number 608 244 - 4422 ext.		1.5h. Fax number 608 244 - 4064	
1.5i. Email Address chayward@wiscap.org		1.5j. State Association Website www.wiscap.org	
1.5k. State Association currently serves as the Regional Performance Innovation Consortia (RPIC) lead ☒ Yes ☐ No			

Section 2: State Legislation and Regulation

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 2 State Legislation and Regulation

2.1. CSBG State Legislation:

State has a statute authorizing CSBG ☒ Yes ☐ No

2.2. CSBG State Regulation:

State has regulations for CSBG ☐ Yes ☒ No

2.3. Legislation/Regulation Document: *Attach the legislation and/or regulations or provide a hyperlink(s) to the documents indicated under Item 2.1. and/or Item 2.2.*

Wis. Stat. s. 49.265 -<https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/statutes/statutes/49/III/265>

2.4. State Authority:

Select a response for each of the following items about the state statute and/or regulations authorizing CSBG:

2.4a. Authorizing Legislation: State legislature enacts authorizing legislation or amendments to an existing authorizing statute, last federal fiscal year ☐ Yes ☒ No

2.4b. Regulation Amendments: State established or amended regulations for CSBG last federal fiscal year ☐ Yes ☒ No

Section 3: State Plan Development and Statewide Goals

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 3

State Plan Development and Statewide Goals

3.1. CSBG Lead Agency Mission and Responsibilities:

Briefly describe the mission and responsibilities of the state agency that serves as the CSBG Lead Agency.

Wisconsin's Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) lead agency is the state Department of Children and Families (DCF), which has the mission of promoting the economic and social well-being of Wisconsin's children and families. DCF is committed to protecting children and youth, strengthening families, and supporting communities. DCF operates over 30 programs in the following categories: Child Protective Services Milwaukee Child Welfare Domestic violence response Child Support Child Care Regulation and Licensing Child Care Subsidy Refugee and Immigrant Integration Special Needs Adoption Foster Care Kinship Care Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) DCF's Division of Family and Economic Security (DFES), Bureau of Working Families is responsible for administering CSBG funds. Along with CSBG, DFES administers the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) cash assistance program, which is known as Wisconsin Works (W-2), refugee services, and the Wisconsin Child Support Program. This provides for coordination of programming to help impoverished families and assists in the Division's vision: that every individual, child, and family is economically and socially secure.

3.2. State Plan Goals:

Describe the state's CSBG-specific goals for state administration of CSBG under this State Plan.

(Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 1Sa(i) and pre-populates the State's Annual Report, Module 1, Item B.1.)

1. In the next 2 years, the State Plan goal is to develop and disseminate 1 full written guidance document on CSBG Organizational Standards. Offer a minimum of 4 CSBG Organizational Standards trainings. Use performance data and monitoring results to identify agencies not meeting at least 90% of the CSBG Organizational Standards and provide targeted assistance. Goal will increase understanding and compliance of CSBG Organizational Standards. In partnership with WISCAP the state office will enhance the effectiveness and compliance of Community Action Agencies (CAAs) by increasing the states provision of guidance, training, and technical assistance related to the 58 CSBG Organizational Standards. This includes developing consistent interpretation, offering targeted support, and creating tools and resources to help agencies meet and sustain standards successfully. The state office in coordination with WISCAP and CAAs will: a. Develop and disseminate a written guidance document with FAQs, examples, and clarifications. b. Offer trainings on high-priority and/or frequently missed standards. c. Use performance data and monitoring results to identify agencies needing targeted assistance. 2. In the next 2 years, the State Plan goal is to ensure WISCAP is leading efforts to create a resource toolkit for CAAs to increase funding opportunities. Ensure WISCAP offers at least 1 training on grant writing. Create a network for peer learning through quarterly (8) meetings which WISCAP leads to discuss resource expansion. This will provide additional knowledge of resources available to CAAs. As CAAs work to strengthen their capacity and increase financial sustainability through the development of strategic partnerships and funding expansion, the state office will lead coordination efforts in a workgroup comprised of WISCAP and CAAs to create tools and strategies to help CAAs secure additional funding particularly from non-governmental and private sector sources. This includes cultivating financial partnerships with private organizations and enhancing outreach to pursue and manage broadened funding streams effectively. The state office will oversee efforts to: a. Create a resource toolkit for CAAs, including templates for grant proposals, resource development strategies, and marketing best practices to engage potential funders. b. Offer training and technical assistance on grant writing and resource development. c. Establish a peer learning network for sharing successful strategies in resource expansion. 3. In the next 2 years, the State Plan goal is to hold quarterly (8) meetings involving the state office, CAAs, WISCAP and other experts to identify and compile data on the impact of the benefits cliff on low-income population. Map journeys that show how CAA service contributes to peoples self-sufficiency. Identify 5 additional ways the indirect impact of programs positively affects the community. This will provide more quantitative and qualitative data to

3.3. State Plan Development:

Indicate the information and input the state accessed to develop this State Plan.

3.3a. Analysis of state-level tools *[Check all that apply applies and provide additional information where applicable]*

☒ State Performance Indicators and/or National Performance Indicators (NPIs)

☒ U.S. Census data

☒ State Performance Management Data (e.g., accountability measures, ACSI survey information, and/or other information from annual reports)

☒ Monitoring Visits/Assessments

☐ Tools Not Identified Above (specify)

3.3b. Analysis of local-level tools *[Check all that applies and provide additional information where applicable]*

☒ Eligible Entity Community Needs Assessments

☒ Eligible Entity Community Action Plans

☒ Public Hearings/Workshops

☐ Tools Not Identified Above (e.g., State required reports)[specify]

3.3c. Consultation with *[Check all that applies applies and provide additional information where applicable]*

☒ Eligible Entities (e.g., meetings, conferences, webinars; not including the public hearing)

☒ State Association

☒	National Association for State Community Services Programs (NASCSPP)
☐	Community Action Partnership (NCAP)
☐	Community Action Program Legal Services (CAPLAW)
☐	CSBG Tribal Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) provider
☐	Regional Performance Innovation Consortium (RPIC)
☐	Association for Nationally Certified ROMA Trainers (ANCRT)
☒	Federal CSBG Office
☐	Organizations not identified above [Specify]

3.4. Eligible Entity Involvement

3.4a. State Plan Development Describe the specific steps the State took in developing the State Plan to involve the eligible entities.

(Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 1Sa(ii) and may pre-populate the State's annual report form)

DCF held a State Plan kickoff meeting on March 5, 2025. This meeting went through the different sections of the State Plan and where contract managers were looking for eligible entity input. There was a robust discussion regarding the State Plan goals during the kickoff meeting; however, no firm direction was made. On April 23, 2025, DCF held a follow up meeting to specifically discuss State Plan goals. Once there was an outline of what the eligible entities found to be a priority, DCF contract managers drafted three goals to align with those discussions. The draft goals were then reviewed by the State Plan workgroups on May 5, 2025, and May 14, 2025. The final draft of the goals was sent out to the entire network for final review. The full State Plan draft was posted on the CSBG website July 29, 2025. Eligible entities were encouraged to submit feedback at any time after the kickoff meeting and prior to the combined public and legislative hearing. Reminders were sent via e-mail and during one-on-one check-ins with each eligible entity. DCF sent all eligible entities notice of the CSBG State Plan combined public and legislative hearing which was held on August 4, 2025. Public comment was help open until August 8, 2025. The current State Plan workgroups have continued to meet and talk about future planning. These members will have the option to continue their participation on the workgroups throughout the 2026-2027FFY State Plan.

3.4b. Performance Management Adjustment: Describe how the state adjusted its State Plan development procedures under this State Plan, as compared to previous State Plans, in order to:

1) encourage eligible entity participation and
 2) ensure the State Plan reflects input from eligible entities?

Any adjustment should be based on the State's analysis of past performance in these areas, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing.
 If the State is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

(Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 1Sb(i) and (ii) and pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item B.1.)

The State Plan development procedure was changed to encourage eligible entity participation through the incorporation of small group discussion with eligible entities staff and board. Previously DCF worked in larger groups made up primarily of eligible entities board members. This change ensured the State Plan reflected input from eligible entities since small group settings allowed for a more detailed and in-depth discussion about what goals and action steps would look like.

3.5. Eligible Entity Overall Satisfaction:
 Provide the State's target for eligible entity Overall Satisfaction during the performance period:

Year One	92	Year Two	93
----------	----	----------	----

Instructional Note: The state's target score will indicate improvement or maintenance of the state's Overall Satisfaction score from the most recent American Customer Survey Index (ACSI) survey of the state's eligible entities.
(Note: Item 3.5 is associated with State Accountability Measure 8S and may pre-populate the State's annual report form)

Section 4: CSBG Hearing Requirements

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 4 CSBG Hearing Requirements

4.1. Public Inspection:

Describe the steps taken by the state to disseminate this State Plan to the public for review and comments prior to the public hearing, as required under Section 676(e)(2) of the Act.

DCF made the CSBG State Plan available for public inspection and comment by posting the plan on the departments website and including a link to the plan on all notices about the public hearing; additionally, it was posted on the Wisconsin Public Meeting Notices and Minutes for all Wisconsin State Departments to view. DCF requested each eligible entity post the draft plan at their service locations for public view and comment.

4.2. Public Notice/Hearing:

Describe how the state ensured there was sufficient time and statewide distribution of notice of the public hearing(s) to allow the public to comment on the State Plan, as required under Section 676(a)(2)(B) of the CSBG Act.

DCF Distributed notice about the hearing on July 30, 2025. This allowed 5 days for the public to comment and ask questions. DCF used the following methods to distribute notice: (1) sending hearing notice to all eligible entities and WISCAP for review and dissemination within their communities to stakeholders and key partners; (2) sending the hearing notice to other State Agency Departments via the Wisconsin Public Meeting Notices and Minutes website; (3) posting the notice on DCFs website (4) Posting the notice at the State Capitols public meeting bulletin for legislative bodies and administrative offices for the State to view; and (5) sending the hearing notice to the Wisconsin State Journal, a statewide news medium obligated to give notice to persons affected in accordance with Wis. Stat. s. 19.84 DCF revised this State Plan and disseminated to all agencies and other required parties in accordance with Wisconsin Open Meetings laws on July 31, 2026, with a combined public and legislative hearing held on August 17, 2026. This allowed two weeks for the public to comment and ask questions. DCF used the following methods to distribute notice: (1) sending hearing notice to all eligible entities and WISCAP for review and dissemination within their communities to stakeholders and key partners; (2) sending the hearing notice to other State Agency Departments via the Wisconsin Public Meeting Notices and Minutes website; (3) posting the notice on DCFs website (4) Posting the notice at the State Capitols public meeting bulletin for legislative bodies and administrative offices for the State to view; and (5) sending the hearing notice to the Wisconsin State Journal, a statewide news medium obligated to give notice to persons affected in accordance with Wis. Stat. s. 19.84.

4.3. Public and Legislative Hearings:

In the table below, specify the date(s) and location(s) of the public and legislative hearing(s) held by the designated lead agency for this State Plan, as required under Section 676(a)(2)(B) and Section 676(a)(3) of the Act.

	Date	Location	Type of Hearing [Select an option]	If a combined hearing was held, confirm that the public was invited
1	08/17/2026	via Microsoft Teams	Combined	☒

NOTE : States can add rows as needed for each hearing as needed

4.4. Attach supporting documentation or a hyperlink for the public and legislative hearings.

<https://dcf.wisconsin.gov/csbgs> <https://publicmeetings.wi.gov/view/47b598a2-a371-416e-b8ba-7e268018f58a/1>

Section 5: CSBG Eligible Entities

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No:0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 5 CSBG Eligible Entities

5.1. CSBG Eligible Entities:

In the table below, indicate whether each eligible entity in the state, is public or private, the type(s) of entity, and the geographical area served by the entity.

Note: Table 5.1 pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table C.1.

Types of Entities include Community Action Agency, Limited Purpose Agency, Local Government Agency, Migrant or Seasonal Farmworker Organization, Tribe or Tribal Organization, and Other

#	CSBG Eligible Entity	Geographical Area Served by county (Provide all counties)	Public or Nonprofit	Type of Entity [choose all that apply]
1	ADVOCAP, Inc.	Fond du Lac County, Winnebago County, Green Lake County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
2	CAP Services, Inc.	Marquette County, Outagamie County, Portage County, Waupaca County, Waushara County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
3	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Adams County, Columbia County, Dodge County, Juneau County, Sauk County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
4	Community Action Coalition for South Central Wisconsin, Inc.	Dane County, Jefferson County, Waukesha County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
5	Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	Rock County, Walworth County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
6	Couleecap, Inc.	Crawford County, La Crosse County, Monroe County, Vernon County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
7	Indianhead Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Burnett County, Clark County, Rusk County, Sawyer County, Taylor County, Washburn County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
8	Lakeshore CAP, Inc. of Wisconsin	Door County, Kewaunee County, Manitowoc County, Sheboygan County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
9	Newcap, Inc.	Vilas, Florence, Forest, Oneida, Langlade, Marinette County, Menominee County, Oconto County, Shawano County, Brown County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
10	North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	Lincoln County, Marathon County, Wood County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
11	Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	Douglas County, Ashland County, Bayfield County, Iron County, Price County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
12	Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency, Inc.	Racine County, Kenosha County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
13	Community Relations- Social Development Commission	Milwaukee County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
14	Southwestern Wisconsin Community Action Program, Inc.	Iowa County, Lafayette County, Grant County, Green County, Richland County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
15	West Central Community Action Agency, Inc.	Barron County, Chippewa County, Dunn County, Pepin County, Pierce County, Polk County, St. Croix County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
16	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Buffalo County, Eau Claire County, Jackson County, Trempealeau County	Non-Profit	Community Action Agency
17	United Migrant Opportunity Services/ UMOS Inc.	State of Wisconsin	Non-Profit	Migrant or Seasonal Farmworker Organization
18	Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.	State of Wisconsin, except Dane, Racine and Milwaukee counties	Non-Profit	Limited Purpose Agency

5.2. Total number of CSBG eligible entities 18

5.3. Changes to Eligible Entities List:

Within the tables below, describe any changes that have occurred to the Eligible Entities within the state since the last federal fiscal Year (FFY), as applicable.

One or more of the following changes were made to the eligible entity list: [Check all that apply].

☐	Designation and/or Re-Designation
☒	De-Designations and/or Voluntary Relinquishments
☐	Mergers
☐	No Changes to Eligible Entities List

5.3a. Designation and Re-Designation: Identify any new entities that have beendesignated as eligible entities, as defined under Section 676A of the Act, since the last federal fiscal year.Include any eligible entities designated to serve an area previously not served by CSBG as well as any entities designated to replace another eligible entity that was terminated (de-designated) or that voluntarily relinquished its status as a CSBG eligible entity.

CSBG Eligible Entity	Type	Start Date	Geographical Area Served	Delete

5.3b. Designation and Voluntary Relinquishments: Identify any entities that are no longer receiving CSBG funding. Include any eligible entities that have been terminated (de-designated) as defined under Section 676(c) and Section 676C of the Act, or voluntarily relinquished their CSBG eligible entity status since the last Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). Include any eligible entities designated to serve an area previously not served by CSBG as well as any entities designated to replace another eligible entity that was terminated (de-designated)

CSBG Eligible Entity	Reason	Delete
Newcap, Inc.	Voluntarily Relinquished	
Community Relations- Social Development Commission	Termination/De-designation	

5.3c. Mergers: In the table below, provide information about any mergers or other combinations of two or more eligible entities that were individually listed in the prior State Plan.

Original CSBG Eligible Entities	Surviving CSBG Eligible Entity	New Name (as applicable)	DUNS No.	Delete

Section 6: Organizational Standards for Eligible Entities

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Administration for Children and Families Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)	Form Approved OMB No: 0970-0382 Expires: 08/31/2028
SECTION 6 Organizational Standards for Eligible Entities	
Note: Reference IM 138, <i>State Establishment of Organizational Standards for CSBG Eligible Entities</i>, for more information on Organizational Standards. Click HERE for IM 138.	
6.1. Choice of Standards: Confirm whether the state will implement the CSBG Organizational Standards Center of Excellence (COE) organizational standards (as described in IM 138) or an alternative set during the federal fiscal year(s) of this planning period	
☒ COE CSBG Organizational Standards ☐ Modified version of COE CSBG Organizational Standards ☐ Alternative set of Organizational Standards	
6.1a. Modified Organizational Standards: In the case that the state is requesting to use modified COE-developed organizational standards, provide the proposed modification for the FFY of this planning period including the rationale.	
N/A	
6.1b. Alternative Organizational Standards: If using an alternative set of organizational standards, attach the complete list of alternative organizational standards.	
6.1c. Alternative Organizational Standards Changes: If using an alternative set of organizational standards: 1) provide any changes from the last set provided during the previous State Plan submission; 2) describe the reasons for using alternative standards; and 3) describe how they are at least as rigorous as the COE- developed standards	
☒ There were no changes from the previous State Plan submission	
Provide reason for using alternative standards N/A	
Describe rigor compared to COE-developed Standards N/A	
6.2. Implementation: Check the box that best describes how the state officially adopt(ed) organizational standards for eligible entities in the state in a manner consistent with the state's administrative procedures act. If "Other" is selected, provide a timeline and additional information, as necessary. <i>[Check all that apply and narrative where applicable]</i>	
☐ Regulation	
☒ Policy	
☒ Contracts with eligible entities	
☐ Other, describe:	
N/A	
6.3. Organizational Standards Assessment: Describe how the state assess eligible entities against organizational standards this federal fiscal year(s). <i>[Check all that apply.]</i>	
☐ Peer-to-peer review <i>(with validation by the State or state-authorized third party)</i>	
☒ Self-assessment <i>(with validation by the State or state-authorized third party)</i>	
☐ Self-assessment/peer review with state risk analysis	
☐ State-authorized third party validation	
☒ Regular, on-site CSBG monitoring	
☐ Other	
6.3a. Assessment Process: Describe the planned assessment process.	
CSBG Organizational Standards are reviewed, at minimum, annually via desk monitoring by the state office. Each eligible entity is provided a CSBG Organizational Standards template at the beginning of the federal fiscal year, that outlines all 58 CSBG Organizational Standards. The eligible entity must fill out how the requirement has been met and provide supporting documentation. In addition to the annual review, the state office is checking in with eligible entities on at least a quarterly basis to check progress on certain benchmarks and upcoming due dates. If any eligible entity is not meeting one of the CSBG Organizational Standards, they will be put on a technical assistance plan and asked to provide a completion date for that standard. The state office then works on more targeted technical assistance with that eligible entity to ensure compliance in the following year. In addition to the desk audits, all 58 CSBG Organizational Standards are also reviewed for compliance at triennial on-site monitoring visits. CSBG Organizational Standards outcomes for the state are collectively reported to OCS with the CSBG Annual Report.	
6.4. Eligible Entity Exemptions: Will the state make exceptions in applying the organizational standards for certain eligible entities due to special circumstances or organizational characteristics (as described in IM 138)? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
6.4a. Provide the specific eligible entities the state will exempt from meeting organizational standards, and provide a description and a justification for each exemption	

Total Number of Exempt Entities: 3				
CSBG Eligible Entity		Description / Justification		Delete
Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.		DCF is exempting Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc. (FRH) from Organizational Standard 5.1, which requires a tripartite board of directors, and 5.2, which requires a democratic selection process for low-income board representatives. As a statewide limited purpose agency with an annual budget under \$500,000, the rationale for making an exception for FRH is that maintaining a tripartite board and putting a statewide democratic selection process in place would put an undue burden on FRH. Furthermore, the agency has historically ensured that at least 50% of its board members are representatives from low-income communities.		
United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS, Inc.		DCF is exempting United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS, Inc. (UMOS) from Organizational Standard 5.1, which requires a tripartite board of directors, and 5.2, which requires a democratic selection process for low-income board representatives. As a multi-state agency that uses CSBG funding for specific services targeted to Wisconsin migrant and seasonal farmworker families, the rationale for exempting UMOS from these standards is that maintaining a tripartite, multi-state board of directors is not appropriate for this organization, which operates services in Kansas, Missouri, Minnesota, and Texas as well as Wisconsin. In addition, unlike a community action agency, UMOS does not weave CSBG funds throughout its agency, but instead uses these funds in a single program focused on the states farmworkers. UMOS does maintain a tripartite CSBG Advisory Committee that is selected by its board of directors and focuses on planning and oversight for the CSBG-funded farmworker program. This committee does not have a democratic selection process in place for its low-income representatives, and DCF does not require this as doing so would create limited benefits relative to the resources such a process would require.		
11 Wisconsin tribal organizations that receive discretionary CSBG funds		Consistent with guidance provided by OCS Information Memorandum 138, DCF will not apply the CSBG Organizational Standards to the 11 Wisconsin tribal organizations that receive discretionary CSBG funds as part of the Family Services Program (FSP) funding that DCF contracts to the tribes.		
6.5. Performance Target: Provide the percentage of eligible entities that the state expects to meet all the state-adopted organizational standards for FFY(S) for this planning period				
Year One		85%	Year Two	85%
Note: Item 6.5 is associated with State Accountability Measures 6Sa and prepopulate the Annual report, Module 1, Table D.2.				

Section 7: State Use of Funds

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 7 State Use of Funds

Eligible Entity Allocation (90 Percent Funds) [Section 675C(a) of the CSBG Act]

7.1. Formula:

Select the method (formula) that best describes the current practice for allocating CSBG funds to eligible entities.

- ☒ Historic
- ☐ Base + Formula
- ☐ Formula Alone
- ☐ Formula with Variables
- ☐ Hold Harmless + Formula
- ☐ Other

7.1a. Formula Description: Describe the current practice for allocating CSBG funds to eligible entities.

The CSBG Act requires at least 90% of the CSBG funds be made available for grants for the purposes of CSBG, which is met through the allocations to our states 18 eligible entities: 16 Community Action Agencies (CAAs), plus Foundation for Rural Housing (FRH) and UMOS. The amount allocated for each Community Action Agency (CAA) is based on the percent of low-income individuals in the geographic area served by the CAA. DCF uses Poverty Estimate All Ages data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates (SAIPE) Program to calculate the percent of low-income individuals in each geographic area served by the CAA and updates its allocations decennially with the release of new census demographic data. The CAA receives the percentage of funding related to the percentage of low-income individuals covered by the CAAs service area.

7.1b. Statute: Does a state statutory or regulatory authority specify the formula for allocating "not less than 90 percent" funds among eligible entities? ☐ Yes ☒ No

7.2. Planned Allocation:

Specify the percentage of your CSBG planned allocation that will be funded to eligible entities and "not less than of 90 percent funds" as described under Section 675C(a) of the CSBG Act.

In the table, provide the planned allocation for each eligible entity receiving funds for the fiscal year(s) covered by this plan.

Note: This information pre-populates the state's Annual Report, Module 1, Table E.2.

Year One	90.00%	Year Two	90.00%
-----------------	--------	-----------------	--------

Planned CSBG 90 Percent Funds

CSBG Eligible Entity	Year One Funding Amount \$	Delete
ADVOCAP, Inc.	\$345,192	
CAP Services, Inc.	\$404,278	
Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	\$303,303	
Community Action Coalition for South Central Wisconsin, Inc.	\$1,015,058	
Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	\$396,892	
Coulecap, Inc.	\$329,336	
Indianhead Community Action Agency, Incorporated	\$192,978	
Lakeshore CAP, Inc. of Wisconsin	\$270,969	
Newcap, Inc.	\$656,233	
North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	\$287,502	
Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	\$158,096	
Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency, Inc.	\$541,206	
Southwestern Wisconsin Community Action Program, Inc.	\$201,258	
West Central Community Action Agency, Inc.	\$377,960	
Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Incorporated	\$227,616	
United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS Inc.	\$358,099	
Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.	\$125,335	
	\$2,122,852	
Total	\$8,314,163	

CSBG Eligible Entity Year Two		
CSBG Eligible Entity	Year Two Funding Amount \$	Delete

ADVOCAP, Inc.	\$345,192
CAP Services, Inc.	\$404,278
Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	\$303,303
Community Action Coalition for South Central Wisconsin, Inc.	\$1,015,058
Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	\$396,892
Coulecap, Inc.	\$329,336
Indianhead Community Action Agency, Incorporated	\$192,978
Lakeshore CAP, Inc. of Wisconsin	\$270,969
North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	\$287,502
Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	\$158,096
Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency, Inc.	\$541,206
Southwestern Wisconsin Community Action Program, Inc.	\$201,258
West Central Community Action Agency, Inc.	\$377,960
Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Incorporated	\$227,616
United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS Inc.	\$358,099
Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.	\$125,335
	\$2,122,852
	\$656,233
Total	\$8,314,163

7.3. Distribution Process:
Describe the specific steps in the state's process for distributing 90 percent funds to the eligible entities and include the number of days each step is expected to take; include information about state legislative approval or other types of administrative approval (such as approval by a board or commission).

All eligible entities must submit an annual application to DCF outlining their plans for use of the CSBG funds and certifying that the eligible entities will comply with all federal and state contract requirements for CSBG grantees. DCF will send CSBG application forms to eligible entities on or before September 1, and completed applications are due back to DCF by October 1, in the year preceding the contract year, which begins on January 1. The contract process begins once DCF approves an eligible entity's annual CSBG application. DCF has a process through which the program staff, finance staff, budget staff, the division administrator, the Office of Legal Counsel, and the Secretary's Office must review and approve the funding allocation plan and contract language. Once this process is completed, DCF routes contracts electronically through DocuSign for execution, generally about 30 days before the start of the contract period. Signatories then have 60 days to sign contracts. This timeline is driven by the receipt of DCF's Notice of Award from OCS, which starts the process for each contract year. DCF distributes CSBG funds to agencies on a reimbursement basis. Once the contract year is underway, eligible entities may submit claims for reimbursement by the 23rd day of the following month to be paid on the last day of that month. For instance, if an eligible entity submits a reimbursement claim for January by the close of business on February 23, DCF will reimburse the eligible entity on the last day of February. Eligible entities submit claims for reimbursement electronically using the DCF's cost reporting system, known as SPARC (System for Payments and Reports of Contracts). The SPARC Monthly Expenditure Reports for January through November must be submitted to DCF by close of business (4:30 pm Central Standard Time) on or before the 23rd day of the month for the previous month's activity. Late reports will be processed in the next month's payment cycle. The schedule for submitting SPARC Monthly Expenditure Reports is left to the discretion of each eligible entity; reports may be submitted monthly or less frequently, depending on the eligible entities wish for reimbursement. It is not imperative that expenditures for a specific month be claimed within that month; they may be claimed on a subsequent month's expenditure report. The final expenditure report for the contract year is due within 60 days after the end of the grant period. DCF requires all grants to be paid by direct deposit into grantees accounts. After an expenditure report is submitted, DCF will issue the reimbursement using direct deposit on the last day of the same month.

7.3a Distribution Method: Select the option below that best describes the distribution method the state uses to issue CSBG funds to eligible entities:

☒ Reimbursement

☐ Advance

☐ Hybrid

☐ Other

7.4. Distribution Timeframe:
Does the state plan to make funds available to eligible entities no later than 30 calendar days after OCS distributes the federal award? ☒ Yes
☐ No

7.4a. Distribution Consistency: If no, describe state procedures to ensure funds are made available to eligible entities consistently and without interruption.

Note: Item 7.4 is associated with State Accountability Measure 2Sa and may prepopulate the state's annual report form.

7.5. Distribution of Funds Performance Management Adjustment:
Describe the state's strategy for improving grant and/or contract administration procedures under this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any improvements should be based on analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any improvements, provide further detail.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 2Sb and may prepopulate the state's annual report form.

All DCF contracts go through a rigorous review process requiring several levels of signatures before funding is released. In preparation for this this, the CSBG contract managers start the review of the annual contract several months before the contract renewal date of January 1, of each calendar year. This

allows the contracts to be routed for signature as soon as the Notice of Award is received from OCS. The contract team also works closely with the Bureau of Finance on anticipated funding status. This allows for a quicker review process prior to signatures.			
Administrative Funds [Section 675C(b)(2) of the CSBG Act]			
Note: This information pre-populates the state's Annual Report, Module 1, Table E.4.			
7.6. Allocated Funds: Specify the percentage of your CSBG planned allocation for administrative activities for the FFY(s) covered by this State plan.			
Year One (0.00%)	5.00	Year Two (0.00%)	5.00
7.7. State Staff: Provide the number of state staff positions to be funded in whole or in part with CSBG funds for the FFY(s) covered by this State Plan			
Year One	3.00	Year Two	3.00
7.8. State FTEs: Provide the number of state Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) to be funded with CSBG funds for the FFY(s) covered by this State Plan			
Year One	1.55	Year Two	1.55
7.9. Remainder/Discretionary Funds Use: Does the state have remainder/discretionary funds, as described in Section 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act? ☒ Yes ☐ No			
If yes, provide the allocated percentage and describe the use of the remainder/discretionary funds in the table below.			
Year One (0.00%)	5.00%	Year Two (0.00%)	5.00%
Use of Remainder/Discretionary Funds(See Section 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act)			
Note: This response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.2.			
If a funded activity fits under more than one category in the table, allocate the funds among the categories. For example, if the state provides funds under a contract with the State Community Action association to provide training and technical assistance to eligible entities and to create a statewide data system, the funds for that contract should be allocated appropriately between Items 7.9a. - 7.9c. If allocation is not possible, the state may allocate the funds to the main category with which the activity is associated.			
Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sa and pre-populates the annual report Module 1, Table E.7.			
Remainder/Discretionary Fund Uses	Year One Planned \$	Brief description of services/activities and/or activities	
7.9a. Training/technical assistance to eligible entities	\$64,000.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Item 8.1.	
7.9b. Coordination of state-operated programs and/or local programs	\$0.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Section 9, state Linkages and Communication.	
7.9c. Statewide coordination and communication among eligible entities	\$27,000.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Section 9, state Linkages and Communication.	
7.9d. Analysis of distribution of CSBG funds to determine if targeting greatest need	\$0.00		
7.9e. Asset-building programs	\$0.00		
7.9f. Innovative programs/activities by eligible entities or other neighborhood groups	\$0.00		
7.9g. State charity tax credits	\$0.00		
7.9h. Other activities, specify in column 3	\$362,374.00	Wisconsin allocates 4% of the CSBG funding to the states 11 federally recognized Indian tribes. This funding is included as part of the Family Services Program (FSP) funding the state provides to the tribes. FSP funds can be used for a wide range of human services, including: Domestic abuse intervention; Child welfare programs; Initiatives that empower low-income individuals, families, and communities to overcome the effects of poverty; Adolescent pregnancy prevention and parenting skills programs for adolescent parents; and Childcare. Each tribe has the flexibility to include and emphasize the services that will meet the need of individual families and the tribal community.	
Total	\$453,374.00		
Remainder/Discretionary Fund Uses	Year Two Planned \$	Brief description of services/activities	
7.9a. Training/technical assistance to eligible entities	\$64,000.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Item 8.1.	
7.9b. Coordination of state-operated programs and/or local programs	\$0.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Section 9, state Linkages	

		and Communication.
7.9c. Statewide coordination and communication among eligible entities	\$27,000.00	These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Section 9, state Linkages and Communication.
7.9d. Analysis of distribution of CSBG funds to determine if targeting greatest need	\$0.00	
7.9e. Asset-building programs	0	
7.9f. Innovative programs/activities by eligible entities or other neighborhood groups	\$0.00	
7.9g. State charity tax credits	\$0.00	
7.9h. Other activities, specify in column 3	\$362,374.00	Wisconsin allocates 4% of the CSBG funding to the states 11 federally recognized Indian tribes. This funding is included as part of the Family Services Program (FSP) funding the state provides to the tribes. FSP funds can be used for a wide range of human services, including: Domestic abuse intervention; Child welfare programs; Initiatives that empower low-income individuals, families, and communities to overcome the effects of poverty; Adolescent pregnancy prevention and parenting skills programs for adolescent parents; and Childcare. Each tribe has the flexibility to include and emphasize the services that will meet the need of individual families and the tribal community.
Total	\$453,374.00	
7.10. Remainder/Discretionary Funds Partnerships: Select the types of organizations, if any, the State Plans to work with (by grant or contract using remainder/discretionary funds) to carry out some or all of the activities in table 7.9. [Check all that apply and narrative where applicable]		
☐ The state directly carries out all activities (No Partnerships)		
☐ The state partially carries out some activities		
☐ CSBG eligible entities (<i>if checked, include the expected number of CSBG eligible entities to receive funds</i>)		
☐ Other community-based organizations		
☒ State Community Action association		
☐ Regional CSBG technical assistance provider(s)		
☐ National technical assistance provider(s)		
☐ Individual consultant(s)		
☒ Tribes and Tribal Organizations		
☐ Other		
Note: <i>This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.2.</i>		
7.11. Use of Remainder/Discretionary Funds Performance Management Adjustment: Describe any adjustments the state will make to the use of remainder/discretionary funds under this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any adjustment should be based on the state's analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.		
Note: <i>This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sb, and may pre-populate the State's annual report form.</i>		
There have not been any adjustments to the use of discretionary funding since the last state plan.		

Section 8: State Training and Technical Assistance

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 8

State Training and Technical Assistance

8.1. Training and Technical Assistance Plan: Describe the State's plan for delivering CSBG-funded training and technical assistance to eligible entities under this State Plan by completing the table below. The T/TA plan should include all planned CSBG T/TA activities funded through the administrative or remainder/discretionary funds of this CSBG award (as reported in Section 7). The CSBG T/TA plan should include training and technical assistance conducted directly by the state or through partnerships (as specified in 8.3). Add a row for each activity: indicate the timeframe; whether it is training, technical assistance, or both; and the topic.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 3Scand pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table F.1.

Training and Technical Assistance - Year One

	Planned Timeframe	Training, Technical Assistance, or Both	Topic	Brief Description of "Other"
1	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Fiscal	
2	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Governance/Tripartite Boards	
3	All quarters	Technical Assistance	Organizational Standards - General	
4	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Organizational Standards for eligible entities with unmet TAPs and QIPs	
5	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Training	Reporting	
6	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Training	ROMA	
7	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Community Assessment	
8	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Strategic Planning	
9	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Monitoring	
10	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Communication	
11	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Technology	

Training and Technical Assistance - Year Two

	Planned Timeframe	Training, Technical Assistance, or Both	Topic	Brief Description of "Other"
1	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Fiscal	
2	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Governance/Tripartite Boards	
3	All quarters	Technical Assistance	Organizational Standards - General	
4	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Organizational Standards for eligible entities with unmet TAPs and QIPs	
5	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Training	Reporting	
6	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Training	ROMA	
7	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Community Assessment	
8	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Strategic Planning	
9	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Both	Monitoring	
10	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Communication	
11	Ongoing / Multiple Quarters	Technical Assistance	Technology	

8.1a. Training and Technical Assistance Budget: The planned budget for the training and technical assistance plan (as indicated in the Remainder/Discretionary Funds table in item 7.9):

Year One	\$64,000	Year Two	\$64,000
-----------------	----------	-----------------	----------

8.1b. Training and Technical Assistance Collaboration: Describe how the state will collaborate with the state association and other stakeholders in the planning and delivery of training and technical assistance.

DCF contracts with Wisconsin Community Action Program Association (WISCAP) to define and specify the delivery mechanism of training and technical assistance needs for the states CSBG eligible entities. These needs are reviewed and approved by the WISCAP board of directors on an annual basis and incorporated in WISCAPs contractual Work Plan with DCF. DCF meets with WISCAP monthly to plan the delivery of training and technical assistance identified within the state and receives quarterly activity reports on the status of task in WISCAPs Work Plan.

8.2. Organizational Standards Technical Assistance: Does the state have Technical Assistance Plans (TAPs) in place for all eligible entities with unmet organizational standards, if appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Note: 8.2 is associated with State Accountability Measure 6Sb. The state should put a TAP in place to support eligible entities with one or more unmet organizational standards.

8.2a. Address Unmet Organizational Standards: Describe the state's plan to provide T/TA to eligible entities to ensure they address unmet Organizational Standards. DCF will put eligible entities with unmet Organizational Standards on a Technical Assistance Plan (TAP), if appropriate. Circumstances under which a TAP may not be leveraged for an eligible entity with unmet Organizational Standards include: (1) an upcoming monitoring visit already scheduled during which DCF will re-evaluate the eligible entity's compliance with the Organizational Standards; (2) an eligible entity's deficiencies have already been corrected between the compliance deadline and the time at which a TAP would be issued for such deficiencies; and (3) extenuating circumstances preventing an eligible entity from complying with an Organizational Standard have been presented to DCF, and the eligible entity has documented its ability to comply at a future date, approved by DCF. Eligible entities on TAPs will receive T/TA until Organizational Standards are met. DCF evaluates each eligible entity's compliance with all 58 Organizational Standards on an annual basis through a desk audit, and under certain circumstances more frequently, including the implementation of a TAP or a scheduled monitoring visit. DCF will: (1) provide relevant resources to the eligible entity out of compliance, including those from local, state, and national partners; (2) refer the eligible entity to WISCAP for T/TA; and/or (3) consult with the eligible entity directly until the deficiencies are corrected or it is determined that corrective action will need to take place.

8.3. Training and Technical Assistance Organizations: Indicate the types of organizations through which the State Plans to provide training and/or technical assistance as described in item 8.1, and briefly describe their involvement. **[Check all that apply.]**

☐ All T/TA is conducted by the state

☐ CSBG eligible entities *(if checked, provide the expected number of CSBG eligible entities to receive funds)*

☐ Other community-based organizations

☒ State Community Action association

☐ Regional CSBG technical assistance provider(s)

☐ National technical assistance provider(s)

☐ Individual consultant(s)

☐ Tribes and Tribal Organizations

☐ Other

8.4. CSBG-Funded T/TA Performance Management Adjustment: Describe adjustments the state made to the training and technical assistance plan under this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any adjustment should be based on the state's analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sd may pre-populate the state's annual report form

Wisconsin's CSBG contract manager has become a Nationally Certified ROMA Trainer for the FFY 2026-2027 State Plan cycle. This change occurred based on feedback of eligible entities need for consistent ROMA training. DCF recognized more certified trainers were needed to ensure ROMA training could be available to Wisconsin's eligible entities. During the FFY 2024-2025 State Plan cycle, Wisconsin had one Nationally Certified ROMA Trainer provided by WISCAP; WISCAP will still facilitate the organization of the trainings, however WISCAP no longer has a Nationally Certified ROMA Trainer employed at their office. DCF plans to offer two Introduction to ROMA trainings per year available to all eligible entity staff. During triennial monitoring visits, or as requested by eligible entities, the Nationally Certified ROMA Trainer can also conduct targeted ROMA training for staff and board.

Section 9: State Linkages and Communication

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No:0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 9 State Linkages and Communication

Note: This section describes activities that the state may support with CSBG remainder/discretionary funds, described under Section 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act. The state may indicate planned use of remainder/discretionary funds for linkage/communication activities in Section 7, State Use of Funds, items 7.9(b) and (c).

9.1. State Linkages and Coordination at the State Level:

Describe the linkages and coordination at the state level that the state intends to create or maintain to ensure increased access to CSBG services to low-income people and communities under this State Plan and avoid duplication of services (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5)).

Describe additional information as needed.

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.5. In addition, this item is associated with State Accountability Measure 7Sa and and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.1.

- ☒ State Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) office
- ☒ State Weatherization office
- ☒ State Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) office
- ☒ Head Start State Collaboration offices
- ☒ State public health office
- ☒ State education department
- ☒ State Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) agency
- ☐ State budget office
- ☒ Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)
- ☒ State child welfare office
- ☒ State housing office
- ☐ Other

9.2. State Linkages and Coordination at the Local Level:

Describe how the state is encouraging partnerships and collaborations at the state level with public and private sector organizations, to assure the effective delivery and coordination of CSBG services to transform low-income communities and avoid duplication of services (as required by assurances under Sections 676(b)(5) - (6)).

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurances, items 14.5 and 14.6., and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.2.

In addition to CSBG, DCF also oversees several other anti-poverty initiatives including but not limited to; Wisconsin Works, Transitional Jobs, Transform Milwaukee Jobs, Child Support Liaison, Education Navigator, literacy/GED services, legal aid, child support and refugee services, Skills Enhancement Program, and Jobs for Americas Graduates. By having these programs within the department, contract managers can more easily facilitate referrals and awareness to other anti-poverty programs. In addition to the programs that DCF coordinates within the department, the CSBG contract managers maintain routine coordination with Head Start and Family Foundations Home Visiting Program coordinators. In 2022, DCF created the TANF Work Programs Advisory Committee to facilitate more collaboration between key stakeholders. The community action network has a seat on the committee. Additionally, during state monitoring, there are questions asked related to linkages that the agencies have to other entities to provide evidence of strong collaborative relationships with local governmental and social service organizations, especially antipoverty programs in the community. In 2025 DCF contracted with NEWCAP, one of Wisconsin's CAAs, to provide a whole family case management training, to improve effective delivery of other DCF programs that work with low-income communities.

9.3. Eligible Entity Linkages and Coordination

9.3a. State Assurance of Eligible Entity Linkages and Coordination: Describe how the state will assure that eligible entities will partner and collaborate with public and private sector organizations to assure the effective delivery and coordination of CSBG services to low-income people and communities and avoid duplication of services (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5)).

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.5. and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.3a.

As part of the CSBG monitoring process, Community Action Plan, and documentation gathered during annual desk reviews, DCF requests narratives regarding an eligible entity's partnerships and how these linkages assure effective delivery and coordination of services to low-income individuals and communities. In Wisconsin, CSBG funds are a critical resource for convening and facilitating community-based discussion about local challenges and effective solutions for low-income families. In communities across the state, eligible entities host or participate in workgroups, coordinated community responses, professional organizations, councils, task forces, civic groups, and other forums that actively work towards improved outcomes for people in need. This activity generally results in more effective planning and service delivery in addition to new program development to address identified service gaps. It also ensures that services are not duplicated within local communities. In many cases, collaboration may secure more resources, such as in-kind donations, volunteer time, and corporate support. Collaboration may also lead to greater community awareness of the problems of low-income individuals and families. Schools, churches, county human service agencies such as the Public Health Department and Aging and Disability Resource Center

(ADRC), and other recognized community institutions often provide a significant volume of referrals to eligible entities and rely on eligible entities responsiveness to meet the urgent and emergent needs of the families they serve.
9.3b State Assurance of Eligible Entity Linkages to Fill Service Gaps: Describe how the eligible entities will develop linkages to fill identified gaps in the services, through the provision of information, referrals, case management, and follow-up consultations, according to the assurance under Section 676(b)(3)(B) of the CSBG Act.
Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.3b., and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.3b.
All of Wisconsin's eligible entities conduct a comprehensive Community Needs Assessment every three years, to be followed up by a strategic plan. Through these assessments, as well as the use of ROMA, the agencies are frequently re-assessing the services in their community versus what they currently offer. Eligible entities develop linkages to fill identified gaps in the services through referrals and follow-up consultations. Additionally, a couple of Wisconsin's eligible entities are collaborating with community partners and building community resource centers. DCF has also started to spotlight eligible entity partnerships within a monthly spotlight newsletter. WISCAP hosts an annual Poverty Matters Conference where eligible entities along with other anti-poverty partners can network while also presenting and learning about the different programs available for low-income individuals.
9.4. Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Employment and Training Activities: Does the state intend to include CSBG employment and training activities as part of a WIOA Combined State Plan, as allowed under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5) of the CSBG Act)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.5.
9.4a. WIOA Combined Plan: If the state selected "yes" under item 9.4, provide the CSBG-specific information included in the state's WIOA Combined Plan. This information includes a description of how the state and the eligible entities will coordinate the provision of employment and training activities through statewide and local WIOA workforce development systems. This information may also include examples of innovative employment and training programs and activities conducted by community action agencies or other neighborhood-based organizations as part of a community antipoverty strategy.
9.4b. Employment and Training Activities: If the state selected "no" under item 9.4, describe the coordination of employment and training activities, as defined in Section 3 of WIOA, by the state and by eligible entities providing activities through the WIOA system.
Among Wisconsin's eligible entities, several have management staff that are members of regional Workforce Development Boards, two have staff stationed at regional job centers, two more receive WIOA funding to operate job centers, and four agencies receive WIOA funding to provide adult and/or youth employment services.
9.5. Emergency Energy Crisis Intervention: Describe how the state will assure, where appropriate, that emergency energy crisis intervention programs under Title XXVI (relating to Low-Income Home Energy Assistance) are conducted in each community in the state, as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(6) of the CSBG Act).
Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, item 14.6.
Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) administers the federally funded Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and the Public Benefits Energy Assistance Program, which is funded through fixed charges on electric utility customers. This umbrella coverage is named Wisconsin Home Energy Assistance Program (WHEAP). Five CSBG eligible entities operate LIHEAP. LIHEAP and its related services help approximately 230,000 Wisconsin households annually. In addition to regular heating and electric assistance, specialized services include: Emergency fuel assistance; Counseling for energy conservation and energy budgets; Pro-active copayment plans; Targeted outreach services; and Emergency furnace repair and replacement. Services are provided locally through: County human services offices; Tribal governments; and Private non-profit or other government agencies, including four CSBG eligible entities that serve as sub-contractors for county governments. DOA also administers the states Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP). Nine CSBG eligible entities operate weatherization services under this program. They all have access to the statewide database listing recipients of energy assistance, and routinely reach out to those households to offer weatherization services as appropriate.
9.6. Faith-based Organizations, Charitable Groups, Community Organizations: Describe how the state will assure local eligible entities will coordinate and form partnerships with other organizations, including faith-based organizations, charitable groups, and community organizations, according to the state's assurance under Section 676(b)(9) of the CSBG Act.
Note: this response will link to the corresponding assurance, item 14.9.
Wisconsin's eligible entities report strong relationships with other faith-based organizations, charitable groups, and community organizations. Many eligible entities have seats on various committees and boards within other community organizations in their communities. Many eligible entities also report holding focus groups or collaborative meetings when working on their Community Needs Assessments and strategic plans to ensure continuity in service delivery. According to 2023s CSBG Annual Report data, eligible entities across Wisconsin have partnered with 1,199 other non-profits, 1,175 for profits, 324 faith-based organizations and 219 school districts. There were also 376,989 hours of volunteer time donated to eligible entities in Wisconsin.
9.7. Coordination of Eligible Entity 90 Percent Funds with Public/Private Resources: Describe how the eligible entities will coordinate CSBG 90 percent funds with other public and private resources, according to the assurance under Section 676(b)(3)(C) of the CSBG Act.
Note: this response will link to the corresponding assurance, item 14.3c.
Wisconsin's eligible entities coordinate CSBG funds with a wide variety of other funding. They access additional federal and state grant resources that support Head Start, weatherization, housing assistance and development, employment and training programs, and emergency food services. Eligible entities also have garnered the ongoing financial support of United Way, community foundations, and other local philanthropic organizations and individuals. In some counties, municipal and/or county governments contract with eligible entities to provide services. In the 2023 CSBG Annual Report, Wisconsin's eligible entities reported leveraging \$235,874,118 in funding. This means that for every \$1 of CSBG funding, Wisconsin's eligible entities leveraged \$25.83 from federal, state, local, and private sources.
9.8. Coordination among Eligible Entities and State Community Action Association: Describe state activities for supporting coordination among the eligible entities and the state community action association.
Note: This information will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.5.
DCF reserves a portion of its discretionary CSBG funding to support quarterly collaborative board meetings for the eligible entities executive directors and other leadership staff to meet and discuss issues of common concern, which are organized by WISCAP, Wisconsin's State Association. In addition, WISCAP annually hosts the Poverty Matters conference. This is a time for eligible entity training as well as provides a space for expanding partnerships with other anti-poverty organizations in Wisconsin. The State also works closely with WISCAP to provide the eligible entities necessary trainings related to board governance, community needs, fiscal matters, etc.
9.9. Communication with Eligible Entities and the State Community Action Association:

In the table below, detail how the state intends to communicate with eligible entities, the state community action association, and other partners identified under this State Plan on the topics listed below. For any topic that is not applicable, select "Not Applicable" under Expected Frequency.

Communication Plan			
Subject Matter	Expected Frequency	Format	Brief description of "Other"
Upcoming Public and/or Legislative Hearings	Biannual	Newsletters Meetings/Presentation Public Notice	
State Plan Development	Biannual	Newsletters Mailing Meetings/Presentation Email Website Webinar 1:1 Public Notice	
Organizational Standards Progress	Annually	Meetings/Presentation Email Webinar 1:1 Phone Calls	
State Accountability Measures Progress	Annually	Meetings/Presentation Email Webinar 1:1	
Community Needs Assessments/ Community Action Plans	Annually	Meetings/Presentation Email Webinar 1:1 Phone Calls	
State Monitoring Plans and Policies	Annually	Meetings/Presentation	
Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) Plans	Quarterly	Meetings/Presentation Email 1:1 Phone Calls	
ROMA and Performance Management	Annually	Meetings/Presentation Email 1:1	
State Interagency Coordination	As needed	Meetings/Presentation	
CSBG Legislative/Programmatic Updates	Monthly	Meetings/Presentation Email Website	
Tripartite Board Requirements	Quarterly	1:1 Letters/Hard Copies	

9.10. Feedback to Eligible Entities and State Community Action Association:

Describe how the state will provide information to local entities and state community action associations regarding performance on state accountability measures.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 5S(iii). and will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.6

When DCF receives feedback from OCS regarding the States performance on State Accountability Measures, the CSBG contract manager will forward the report from OCS to the eligible entities and WISCAP within 60 calendar days. In addition to sharing the States performance data with the eligible entities and WISCAP, DCF will also provide information about any actions DCF plans to take to improve the States performance.

9.11. Communication Plan Performance Management Adjustment:

Describe any adjustments the state made to the Communication Plan in this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any adjustment should be based on the state's analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 7Sb; this response may pre-populate the state's annual report form.

DCF is not making any adjustments to the Communication Plan for this State Plan compared to past plans. DCF will continue a coordinated monthly meeting with WISCAP staff related to the eligible entities training and technical assistance needs. DCF continues to work with WISCAP joint planning for T/TA for the eligible entities to increase collaboration and build a stronger T/TA partnership for Wisconsin eligible entities. DCF sees a benefit to holding calls, in-person meetings, or, at minimum, email exchanges on at least a monthly basis, in addition to WISCAP providing regular detailed reports to DCF for the T/TA activities it provides under its CSBG-funded contract with DCF.

Section 10: Monitoring, Corrective Action, and Fiscal Controls

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 10 Monitoring, Corrective Action, and Fiscal Controls

Monitoring, Corrective Action and Fiscal Controls (Section 678B(a) of the Act)

10.1. Specify the proposed schedule for planned monitoring visits - including full on-site reviews; on-site reviews of newly designated entities; follow-up reviews - including return visits to entities that failed to meet State goals, standards, and requirements; and other reviews as appropriate.

This is an estimated schedule to assist states in planning. States may indicate "no review" for entities the state does not plan to monitor in the performance period.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sa(i); this response pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table H.1.

	CSBG Eligible Entity	Monitoring Type	Review Type	Target Quarter	Start Date of Last Full Onsite Review	End Date of Last Full Onsite Review	Brief Description of "Other"
1	ADVOCAP, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q1	11/07/2022	11/30/2022	
2	CAP Services, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	03/25/2024	04/15/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
3	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	01/10/2024	02/01/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
4	Community Action Coalition for South Central Wisconsin, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	10/06/2024	11/06/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
5	Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	03/31/2025	06/02/2025	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
6	Couleecap, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	09/09/2024	10/02/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
7	Indianhead Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q4	06/29/2023	08/08/2023	
8	Lakeshore CAP, Inc. of Wisconsin	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	08/27/2024	09/27/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
9	Newcap, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	06/23/2025	09/30/2025	Monitoring still ongoing
10	North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q3	07/25/2023	08/02/2023	
11	Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	07/22/2024	08/22/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
12	Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q3	04/29/2024	05/29/2024	Onboarded a new

							Executive Director in 2025
13	Community Relations- Social Development Commission	No review					
14	Southwestern Wisconsin Community Action Program, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	01/29/2024	02/13/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
15	West Central Community Action Agency, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q2	07/26/2024	08/23/2024	Onboarded a new Executive Director in 2025
16	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q4	10/10/2023	10/18/2023	
17	United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY1 Q4	09/11/2023	10/06/2023	
18	Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY1 Q2	04/28/2025	05/23/2025	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
	CSBG Eligible Entity	Monitoring Type	Review Type	Target Quarter	Start Date of Last Full Onsite Review	End Date of Last Full Onsite Review	Brief Description of "Other"
1	ADVOCAP, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	11/07/2022	11/30/2022	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
2	CAP Services, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q2	03/25/2024	04/15/2024	
3	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q2	01/10/2024	02/01/2024	
4	Community Action Coalition for South Central Wisconsin, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	10/06/2024	11/06/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
5	Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	03/31/2025	06/02/2025	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
6	Couleecap, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q4	09/09/2024	10/02/2024	
7	Indianhead Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q2	06/29/2023	08/08/2023	
8	Lakeshore CAP, Inc. of Wisconsin	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q4	08/27/2024	09/27/2024	
9	Newcap, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	06/23/2025	09/30/2025	Monitoring ongoing until voluntary relinquishment on July 1, 2026
10	North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	07/25/2023	08/02/2023	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
11	Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q4	07/22/2024	08/22/2024	
12	Racine/Kenosha Community Action Agency, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	04/29/2024	05/29/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
13	Community Relations- Social Development Commission	No review					
14	Southwestern Wisconsin Community Action Program, Inc.	Full On-site	Onsite Review	FY2 Q2	01/29/2024	02/13/2024	
15	West Central Community Action Agency, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	07/26/2024	08/23/2024	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
16	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	10/10/2023	10/18/2023	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
17	United Migrant Opportunity Services/UMOS Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	09/11/2023	05/23/2025	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review

18	Foundation for Rural Housing, Inc.	Other	Desk Review	FY2 Q2	04/28/2025	05/23/2025	Annual CSBG Org. Standard Desk Review
----	------------------------------------	-------	-------------	--------	------------	------------	---------------------------------------

10.2. Monitoring Policies:
Provide a copy of state monitoring policies and procedures by attaching and/or providing a hyperlink.

DCF CSBG Policy Manual, also available online at: <https://dcf.wisconsin.gov/csbg>.

10.3. Initial Monitoring Reports:
According to the state's procedures, by how many calendar days must the State disseminate initial monitoring reports to local entities?

Note: This item is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sa(ii) and may pre-populate the state's annual report form.

30

**Corrective Action, Termination and Reduction of Funding and Assurance Requirements
(Section 678C of the Act)**

10.4. Closing Findings:
Are state procedures for addressing eligible entity findings/deficiencies and documenting the closure of findings, included in the State monitoring protocols attached above? ☐ Yes ☒ No

10.4a. Closing Findings Procedures: If no describe state procedures for addressing eligible entity findings/deficiencies, and documenting the closure of findings.

If DCF determines through an on-site monitoring visit that an eligible entity is not in compliance with state and/or federal CSBG requirements, DCF will identify the specific deficiencies in a monitoring report issued to the eligible entity within 30 days of the monitoring review. The monitoring report will document the basis for DCF's determination, and the eligible entity will be asked to develop and propose a plan and timeline within 60 days to address the issues identified in the monitoring report. This plan must identify the actions that the eligible entity will take to correct the deficiency within a reasonable period. Alternately, the eligible entity may provide documentation that corrections have already been made, and therefore further corrective action is not needed for the issue(s) in question. DCF will have 30 days to either approve the eligible entity's proposed plan or specify the reasons why the proposed plan cannot be approved. In alignment with guidance from CSBG Information Memorandum 138 (State Establishment of Organizational Standards for CSBG Eligible Entities under 678B of the CSBG Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9914), DCF will work with the eligible entity to develop a Technical Assistance Plan (TAP) around CSBG requirements that the eligible entity can meet in a reasonable time frame with targeted technical assistance. For circumstances in which an eligible entity needs more intensive work to meet CSBG requirements and has serious deficiencies that threaten its status as a CSBG eligible entity, DCF will work with the eligible entity to establish a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) that lays out clear timelines and benchmarks for progress. DCF will offer training and technical assistance as appropriate to help the eligible entity correct deficiencies. If an eligible entity fails to make progress on a QIP, or the proposed QIP is not approved by DCF, DCF will follow the process outlined in Section 678C of the CSBG Act and the guidelines provided in CSBG Information Memorandum 116 (Corrective Action, Termination, or Reduction of Funding).

10.5. Quality Improvement Plans (QIPs):
Provide the number of eligible entities currently on QIPs, if applicable.

Note: The QIP information is associated with State Accountability Measures 4Sc.

0

10.6. Reporting of QIPs:
Describe the state's process for reporting eligible entities on QIPs to the Office of Community Services within 30 calendar days of the State approving a QIP

Note: This item is associated with State Accountability Measures 4Sa(iii).

Once a QIP is established for an eligible entity and approved by DCF, DCF will send an email to OCS staff within 30 calendar days to report that the QIP is in place. DCF will follow guidance provided by OCS in terms of reporting the specific CSBG requirements addressed by the QIP and providing follow-up reports of the eligible entity's progress in meeting these requirements.

10.7. Assurance on Funding Reduction or Termination:
The state assures, "that any eligible entity that received CSBG funding the previous fiscal year will not have its funding terminated or reduced below the proportional share of funding the entity received in the previous fiscal year unless, after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing on the record, the State determines that cause exists for such termination or such reduction, subject to review by the Secretary as provided in Section 678C(b)" per Section 676(b)(8). ☒ Yes ☐ No

Note: This response will link with the corresponding assurance under item 14.8.

Policies on Eligible Entity Designation, De-designation, and Re-designation

10.8. Eligible Entity Designation: Do the State CSBG statute and/or regulations provide for the designation of new eligible entities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

10.8a. New Designation Citation: If yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation.

Wisconsin Stat. § 49.265(2) provides the process for the creation of a community action agency and it specifies the requirements that an eligible entity must meet to become a CAA. The eligible entity must be capable of performing the powers and duties of a CAA as outlined in Wis. Stat. § 49.265(3), and it must receive the approval of the secretary of DCF and the approval of the county board of supervisors, if the community action agency serves an entire county, or, if the agency serves a city, village or town, receives the approval of the city's, village's or town's legislative body. Wis. Stat. §§ 49.265(2)(a)1. b. and 49.265(2)(a)1.c. Additionally, an eligible entity that may organize as a CAA must be a private, nonprofit community organization, a community relations-social development commission created under s. 66.0125, or an entity designated by the community services administration as a community action agency under 42 USC 2790 to 2797, in effect on August 1, 1981, for federal fiscal year 1981, unless the agency lost its designation. Wis. Stat. § 49.265(2)(b)3. When designating a new eligible entity for a region, DCF may consider designating existing CAAs when appropriate.

10.8b. New Designation Procedures: If no, describe state procedures for the designation of new eligible entities and how the procedures were made available to eligible entities and the public

10.9. Eligible Entity Termination: Do State CSBG statute and/or regulations provide for termination of eligible entities ☒ Yes ☐ No

10.9a. Termination Citation: If yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation.

Wisconsin Stat. § 49.265(2)(c) authorizes the rescission of a CAAs approval, but only if there is good cause and if the decision to rescind is made by both

the legislative body of the county, city, village or town that granted the approval and the secretary. An example of good cause is if an entity fails over a period of time to take action to resolve significant findings that DCF had identified through the CSBG monitoring process, the annual CSBG Organizational Standards assessment, or another documented method that an agency is not in compliance with state and/or federal CSBG requirements. Generally, this would take place after an agency has failed to make adequate progress through the normal corrective action planning process, has been identified as high risk by DCF, and has had, at DCF's discretion, the opportunity to develop a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). DCF would notify OCS within five business days in the event that it had identified an eligible entity as high risk. DCF would begin the process of de-designating a high-risk agency if it failed to cooperate with DCF, did not develop an appropriate corrective action plan, did not receive DCF approval on a submitted corrective action plan, or did not make mutually agreed upon progress on its approved corrective action plan. DCF would also consider what services an agency is providing and its ability to provide needed services to its designated community in making a decision on whether to pursue rescission of designation. In alignment with Section 678(b)(8) of the CSBG Act and Wis. Stat. s. 49.265(2)(c), DCF would consult with the legislative body of the county, city, village, or town whom the agency serves to determine what action steps DCF and the county, city, village, or town needs to take to reach a final decision to de-designate a CAA. At least 90 days before rescinding approval, DCF would notify the agency of its intent to de-designate and hold a public hearing in the community as required by Wis. Stat. 49.265(2)(c), in accordance with Wisconsin open meetings law and federal CSBG guidance. The public hearing would be held in accordance with DCF public hearing practices and with Wisconsin open meetings law (Wis. Stat. s. 19.84), which sets forth the requirements that any such hearing be noticed to the public, including the time, date, place, and agency subject to the de-designation hearing. Any member of the public or other interested party may attend the public hearing. The public hearing may provide for a period of public comment where attendees may provide verbal testimony. Testimony may also be submitted in written form during a specified time period if an individual is unable to attend the public hearing. If, after providing sufficient notice, holding the public hearing, and taking into account any public comments received, DCF finds good cause to rescind the CAAs status as a CAA, DCF will initiate designation termination proceedings. DCF would notify both the eligible entity and OCS of its final decision. The eligible entity would have 30 days following notification of DCF's final decision to request a federal review by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). In alignment with OCS Information Memorandum 116, DCF will provide the affected eligible entity with information on how to request a federal review. If the eligible entity requests a federal review, DCF will not discontinue present or future funding until HHS responds to the review request or the statutory time limit for federal review completion has been reached. If the eligible entity does not request a federal review within the 30-day limit, DCF's decision will be effective upon the date designated in DCF's letter which will be no sooner than 30 days after the date of issuance of the letter and 90 days after the initial notice of DCF's intent to de-designate.

10.9b. Termination Procedures: If no, describe state procedures for termination of new eligible entities and how the procedures were made available to eligible entities and the public

10.10. Does the State CSBG statute and/or regulations specify a process the State CSBG agency must follow to re-designate an existing eligible entity? ☐ Yes ☒ No

10.10a. If Yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation.

10.10b. If No, describe State procedures for re-designation of existing eligible entities.

In accordance with the CSBG Act, if a county in Wisconsin is not covered or ceases to be covered by an eligible entity, and the state decides to serve that county, the state will begin the process of designating an eligible entity. DCF will conduct an application process that allows for new entities as well as existing Community Action Agencies (CAAs) in Wisconsin to submit applications. This application process can be done to find an interim or permanent provider, depending on the need. DCF may appoint an existing CAA to serve a region on an interim basis pending the solicitation of applications for permanent service. Under Wisconsin's statute, an entity wishing to be permanently designated to serve a county, city, village, or town must receive approval from the county, city, village or town's legislative body. The state will work with the governing legislative body or bodies during the application process, as necessary, and afterwards to secure approval of the new eligible entity by both the legislative governing body and the DCF Secretary. DCF will adjust the resource allocation in the next CSBG State Plan. The CAA must include a plan and budget for the county in its annual CSBG application. The plan must include specific activities that will occur in the county and should include documentation demonstrating that the CAA is eligible for CSBG funding under Wis. Stat. 49.265(2)(a). In alignment with OCS Information Memorandum 116, if no eligible organization is identified or determined to be qualified as a CAA to serve the area, DCF may designate an appropriate political subdivision of the State to serve as an eligible entity for the area. Any nonprofit or public agency receiving CSBG funds must meet the tripartite board requirements specified in Section 676B of the CSBG Act.

Fiscal Controls and Audits and Cooperation Assurance

10.11. Fiscal Controls and Accounting:

Describe how the state's fiscal controls and accounting procedures will a) permit preparation of the SF-425 Federal fiscal reports (FFR) and b) permit the tracing of expenditures adequate to ensure funds have been used appropriately under the block grant, as required by Block Grant regulations applicable to CSBG at 45 CFR 96.30(a).

When any federal award is received, DCF Bureau of Finance staff establishes several reporting categories specific to that award in the general ledger of the states financial system. These reporting categories segregate state disbursements by recipient type and by expenditure type. Administrative expenses are accumulated in a separate account and monitored to ensure that they do not exceed the maximum 5% administrative cap established by the CSBG Act. Eligible entities receive disbursement of their funding after reporting expenditures through a separate payment system. Eligible entities report their expenditures by defined categories, identifying when expenditures were made. This payment system tracks activity by eligible entity and expenditure type, with built-in caps to prevent eligible entity payments from exceeding the contract award. It also maintains historical data which can be queried. The CSBG contract manager uses this data to track funding usage by eligible entity to ensure awards are being fully utilized. DCF finance staff prepares journal entries for funds distribution from this payment system and post the journal entries to the states general ledger. Each eligible entity is required to have an annual single audit to ensure that grant funds received have been used according to the federal statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of the award. DCF Bureau of Finance staff prepares reports periodically to review disbursement of grants. Expenditures are sorted by date to ensure that only items properly obligated by the end of the award period and liquidated within 90 days of that date are reported on the final SF-425 Federal fiscal report. Only items liquidated by the reporting date are included in interim reports.

10.12. Single Audit Management Decisions:

Describe state procedures for issuing management decisions for eligible entity single audits, as required by Block Grant regulations applicable to CSBG at 45 CFR 75.521.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sd.

All of the eligible entities operate on a calendar year basis, with their audits covering the period of January 1, through December 31; except for one agency that operates on a July 1 through June 30 fiscal year. Each eligible entity is required by DCF contract to annually submit a copy of its single audit by six months after the end of its fiscal year. The single audits must be conducted by an independent accounting firm in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The eligible entities audits are collected and reviewed by DCF Bureau of Finance staff. If the auditor indicated that a management letter was issued, DCF Bureau of Finance staff request the management letter if it is not included in the original reporting package. DCF Bureau of Finance staff note any audit findings or management letter comments on their desk review checklist. DCF Bureau of Finance staff review the management response/corrective action plan to determine if it appears adequate to address the finding(s). If applicable, DCF Bureau of Finance staff also review prior year findings to determine if the corrective action plan from the prior year has been put into place. DCF Bureau of Finance staff notifies the CSBG contract manager of any findings and proposed corrections. The CSBG contract manager contacts the eligible entity (if necessary) to clarify proposed action or confirm that the corrective action was completed as scheduled. DCF Bureau of Finance staff also document any additional follow-up considered necessary. The eligible entity's response to DCF Bureau of Finance staff is shared with the CSBG contract manager to verify that all concerns have been adequately addressed. Once all findings are adequately addressed, DCF Bureau of Finance staff sends a close-out letter to the eligible entity stating acceptance of the audit reporting package and closing DCF's interest in the audit. Any funds due back based on audit findings would be requested

through this letter. If a return of funds is requested, a Notice of Appeal rights is also included.

10.13. Assurance on Federal Investigations:

The state will "permit and cooperate with Federal investigations undertaken in accordance with Section 678D" of the CSBG Act, as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(7) of the CSBG Act. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Note: This response will link with the corresponding assurance, Item 14.7.

10.13a. Federal Investigations Policies: Are state procedures for permitting and cooperating with federal investigations included in the state monitoring policies attached under 10.2? ☒ Yes ☐ No

10.13b. Closing Findings Procedures: If no, describe state procedures for permitting and cooperating with federal investigations.

10.14. Monitoring Procedures Performance Management Adjustment:

Describe any adjustments the state made to monitoring procedures in this State Plan as compared to past plans? Any adjustment should be based on the state's analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

Note: This item is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sb and may pre-populate the state's annual report form.

There are no plans to make any adjustments. There were adjustments made in FFY2024-2025; these adjustments included for the triennial monitoring visit to conduct virtual interviews along with the onsite visit.

Section 11: Eligible Entity Tripartite Board

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 11 Eligible Entity Tripartite Board

11.1. Tripartite Board Verification: Verify which of the following measures are taken to ensure that the state verifies CSBG Eligible Entities are meeting Tripartite Board requirements under Section 676B(a)(2) of the CSBG Act *[Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]*

- ☒ Attend Board meetings
- ☒ Organizational Standards Assessment
- ☒ Monitoring
- ☒ Review copies of Board meeting minutes
- ☒ Track Board vacancies/composition
- ☐ Other

11.2. Tripartite Board Updates: Provide how often the state require eligible entities (which are not on TAPs or QIPs) to provide updates regarding their Tripartite Boards. This includes but is not limited to copies of meeting minutes, vacancy alerts, changes to bylaws, low-income member selection process, etc., *[Select one and narrative where applicable]*

- ☐ Annually
- ☐ Semiannually
- ☒ Quarterly
- ☐ Monthly
- ☐ As it Occurs
- ☐ Other

11.3. Tripartite Board Representation Assurance: Describe how the state will verify that eligible entities have policies and procedures by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on an eligible entity's Tripartite Board as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(10) of the CSBG Act

Note: This response will link with the corresponding assurance, item 14.10.

As part of the States CSBG monitoring process, DCF requires each eligible entity to provide evidence that it has policies and procedures in place by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on the eligible entity's board. Eligible entities typically meet this requirement by having a policy and procedure outlined in their bylaws. If an eligible entity fails to meet this requirement, this will result in a finding in the CSBG monitoring report. DCF would then require the eligible entity to develop a Corrective Action Plan within 60 days that shows how the eligible entity will resolve the finding within a reasonable timeframe (i.e., 12 months or less) by formally adopting a policy and procedures by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on its board. DCF will provide technical assistance as needed.

11.4. Tripartite Board Alternative Representation: Does the state permit public eligible entities to use, as an alternative to a Tripartite Board, "another mechanism specified by the state to assure decision-making and participating by low income individuals in the development, planning, implementation, and evaluation of programs" as allowed under Section 676B(b)(2) of the CSBG Act. ☐ Yes ☒ No

11.4a. Tripartite Board Alternative Mechanism: If yes, describe the mechanism used by public eligible entities as an alternative to a Tripartite Board.

Section 12: Individual and Community Eligibility Requirements

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 12

Individual and Community Income Eligibility Requirements

12.1. Required Income Eligibility:

Provide the income eligibility threshold for services in the state.

[Check one item below.]

☒ 125% of the HHS poverty line ☐ X% of the HHS poverty line (fill in the threshold) ☐ Varies by eligible entity

0% % **[Response Option: numeric field]**

12.1a. Income Eligibility Policy and Procedures: Describe any state policy and/or procedures for income eligibility, such as treatment of income and family/household composition.

Individuals and families receiving CSBG supported services must have incomes at or below 125% of the federal poverty level, as defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB). CSBG recipient and sub-recipient agencies are required to conduct eligibility screenings as part of their client intake process. Eligible entities must have written policies and procedures for each program they administer that uses CSBG funds to ensure that CSBG funds are only used to support individuals and families who meet the income eligibility requirement. Eligible entities may determine the types of documentation they require for income verification. If a household's income is at or below 125% of the federal poverty level upon intake into the program, the individual (or family) is eligible to continue receiving services until the eligible entity conducts a formal reassessment. CSBG eligibility is based on household income. A household is defined as all individuals living within the same household who are related to one another by birth or marriage. The gross (pre-tax) income of all individuals in the household must be counted to assess eligibility for CSBG services. Often agencies support a single program by combining CSBG dollars with funds from other sources that have different income eligibility requirements from the CSBG program. In these cases, those served must be screened for CSBG eligibility and identified as CSBG-eligible or not CSBG-eligible. Eligible entities must use proportional ratios to demonstrate client eligibility tied to funding streams. For example, if CSBG supports 30% of a program's costs, then the eligible entity must be able to demonstrate that at least 30% of the clients served have incomes at or below 125% of the federal poverty level. Eligible entities may set other eligibility criteria for programs supported by CSBG funds, including non-income related eligibility criteria. For example, a program may only serve homeless families with minor children, or an eligible entity may choose to set an income eligibility limit for a specific program at lower than 125% of the federal poverty level. However, eligible entities may not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, or disability. In addition, eligible entities cannot ban non-citizens from CSBG programs solely based on their immigration status unless such exclusion is authorized by another statute.

12.2. Income Eligibility for General/Short-Term Services:

Describe how the state ensures eligible entities generally verify income eligibility for those services with limited in-take procedures (where individual income verification is not possible or practical). An example of these services is emergency food assistance.

For all programs that are supported by CSBG funds, eligible entities must provide reasonable, documented evidence that the proportion of program clients who are CSBG-eligible is equal to or greater than the proportion of program costs paid with CSBG funds, or the proportion of program staff time devoted to serving CSBG-eligible clients is equal to or greater than the proportion of program costs paid with CSBG funds. For example, if CSBG supports 30% of a program's costs, then the eligible entity must be able to demonstrate that at least 30% of the clients served have incomes at or below 125% of the federal poverty level, or at least 30% of staff time is allocated to serving CSBG-eligible clients. When CSBG funds support short-term services with limited intake procedures, such as emergency food assistance, and individual income verification is not possible or practical, the eligible entity must provide an estimate with a reasonable, documented basis, that the proportion of program clients who are CSBG-eligible is equal to or greater than the proportion of program costs paid with CSBG funds. For example, such an estimate could be based on periodic, anonymous surveys of clients self-reported income. Alternately, the eligible entity could provide evidence that due to the venue in which a particular service is provided, such as meals provided in an emergency homeless shelter, it could reasonably be assumed that clients meet the CSBG income guidelines.

12.3. Community-targeted Services: Describe how the state ensures eligible entities' services target and benefit low-income communities for services that provide a community-wide benefit (e.g., development of community assets/facilities, building partnerships with other organizations).

When CSBG funds are used for services that provide a community-wide benefit, eligible entities must be able to present evidence that the service benefits members of the community who are affected by poverty and is aligned with the needs of low-income community members as identified by the eligible entity's most recent triennial Community Needs Assessment. The eligible entity must use data from the U.S. Census or equivalent source to demonstrate that the services are in a community in which a relatively high proportion of residents are living in poverty.

Section 13: Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 13 Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System

13.1. Performance Measurement System:

Identify the performance measurement system that the state and all eligible entities use, as required by Section 678E(a) of the CSBG Act and the assurance under Section 676(b)(12) of the CSBG Act.

Note: This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12. and will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item I.1.

- ☒ The Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System
- ☐ Another performance management system that meets the requirements by Section 678E(b) of the CSBG Act
- ☐ An alternative system for measuring performance and results

13.1a. ROMA Description: If ROMA was chosen in Item 13.1, describe the state's written policies, procedures, or guidance documents on ROMA.

As stated in its CSBG Policy and Procedures Manual, DCF requires that all eligible entities have: an annual Community Action Plan developed under the guidance of the agency's board of directors, which provides a basis for directing and monitoring the agency's efforts in addressing poverty-related problems in the community. This plan outlines the services and activities the agency will implement, based on the findings of the Community Needs Assessment. The board of directors record should clearly document their ongoing involvement and leadership in the development, implementation, and evaluation of the Community Action Plan. Board ratification of a staff-prepared plan does not demonstrate adequate involvement and leadership in compliance with the CSBG Act. The board should establish processes to ensure ongoing involvement and participation in the development, implementation, and evaluation of the plan that is responsive to the major needs of the low-income population in the community served by the contract agency. The board must also ensure that Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) goals and National Performance Indicators (NPIs) are incorporated in the formulation of the plan. For this reason, contract agencies board members must receive ROMA training on an annual basis. The Community Action Plans must include the following components: 1. A description of the major poverty-related problems identified by the agency's most recent Community Needs Assessment; 2. Prioritization of the identified problems by magnitude and severity; 3. Identification of current levels of anti-poverty efforts, including an inventory of current local initiatives that exist to address the identified poverty-related problems and any major service gaps at the local level; 4. Selection of problems that the agency will target for direct intervention and the specific programmatic approaches the agency will take; 5. Goals, based on ROMA goals and NPIs, that state in precise terms what the agency proposes to accomplish through its activities during the period of the plan; and 6. A plan for ongoing evaluation of the agency's activities. Furthermore, DCF's CSBG Policy and Procedures Manual states that all eligible entities board members must be familiar with ROMA concepts and participate in periodic updates and review of the agency's Community Action Plan, which uses ROMA as the basis of setting and tracking performance goals for the agency's programs and services.

13.1b. Alternative System Description: If an alternative system was chosen in Item 13.1, describe the system the state will use for performance measurement.

13.2. Outcome Measures: Indicate and describe the outcome measures the state will use to measure eligible entity performance in promoting self-sufficiency, family stability, and community revitalization, as required under Section 676(b)(12) of the CSBG Act.

Note: This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12.

- ☒ CSBG National Performance Indicators (NPIs)
- ☐ NPIs and others
- ☐ Others

Wisconsin uses CSBG National Performance Indicators

13.3. Eligible Entity Support: Describe how the state supports the eligible entities in using the ROMA or alternative performance measurement system.

Note: The activities described under Item 13.3 may include activities... listed in "Section 8: State Training and Technical Assistance." If so, mention briefly, and/or cross-reference as needed. This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, item 14.12.

DCF supports the eligible entities in using the ROMA system in the following ways: 1. Providing ROMA training for eligible entities staff: a RKCAA staff member completed all steps to become a certified ROMA trainer in 2024; in July of 2025, the WI CSBG contract manager became a Nationally Certified ROMA Trainer (NCRT); and multiple eligible entities staff members also received training to become Nationally Certified ROMA Implementers (NCRI) in 2025. DCF also provides funding to WISCAP to provide targeted T/TA to all eligible entities. Training events will continue in FFYs 2026 and 2027, on at minimum an annual basis. 2. Providing ROMA training for eligible entities boards of directors: WISCAP developed a ROMA training video specifically geared toward board members, available on-demand at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ph2pCwfu5z8>. 3. Providing training and technical assistance related to the CSBG Annual Report: The CSBG contract managers provided live training sessions to help eligible entity staff prepare to complete the 2024 CSBG Annual Report in December 2024, in addition to updates and presentations at quarterly WISCAP meetings. DCF will continue to provide this training on, at minimum, an annual basis in FFY2026 and 2027.

13.4. Eligible Entity Use of Data:

Describe how is the state plan to validate the eligible entities that are using data to improve service delivery?

Note: This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12.

During the CSBG monitoring process, DCF asks the following questions to assess the extent to which an eligible entity uses data to improve service delivery: Are all agency programs tied to at least one ROMA objective (NPI)? Is the agency able to obtain an unduplicated count of its participants for the CSBG Annual Report? What participant data does the agency collect and how does it use this data? Does the agency track whether participants use multiple services? Can the agency pull reports on how many participants are served by each individual program during a specific period? Does the

agency have a system in place to track family, agency, and/or community outcomes? Does the agency track and compare data from multiple years to see trends in agency success, customer satisfaction, or other areas? Has the agency analyzed its outcomes in the past 12 months? Do the agency's Community Action Plan and Strategic Plan document the continuous use of the full ROMA cycle (assessment, planning, implementation, achievement of results, and evaluation)? Does the agency use the services of a ROMA-certified trainer to assist in implementation? If the answer to any of these questions is no, the eligible entity's CSBG monitoring report will include a finding and/or recommendation related to the question which will result in the eligible entity developing a Technical Assistance Plan within 60 days of the communication of the finding. DCF will also offer technical assistance to assist the eligible entity in improving its ability to use data to improve service delivery. Furthermore, DCF requires that each eligible entity completes an annual CSBG application that includes a Community Action Plan. The Community Action Plan must include outcome goals for each program. Eligible entities are also required to complete mid-year and year-end reports that provide the program's results related to its outcome goals. If a program is failing to meet its goals, the eligible entity must provide information about the actions it is taking to improve the program's performance.

Community Action Plans and Needs Assessments

13.5. Community Action Plan: Describe how the state will secure a Community Action Plan from each eligible entity, as a condition of receipt of CSBG funding by each entity, as required by Section 676(b)(11) of the CSBG Act.

Note: this response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.11.

As stated in its CSBG Policy and Procedures Manual, DCF requires that all eligible entities have: an annual Community Action Plan developed under the guidance of the agency's board of directors, which provides a basis for directing and monitoring the agency's efforts in addressing poverty-related problems in the community. The eligible entities must submit an annual application to DCF that includes a Community Action Plan outlining their plans for use of the CSBG funds. Completed applications with Community Action Plans are due to DCF by October 1 in the year prior to the contract year, which begins on January 1. DCF reviews and approves the applications prior to issuing contracts.

13.6. Community Needs Assessment:

Describe how the State will assure that each eligible entity includes a community needs assessment for the community served (which may be coordinated with community needs assessments conducted by other programs) in each entity's Community Action Plan, as required by Section 676(b)(11) of the CSBG Act.

Note: this response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.11.

As stated in DCF's CSBG Policy and Procedures Manual, every three years, all contract agencies are required to conduct and submit a Community Needs Assessment. The purpose is to identify the greatest unmet poverty-related needs and the gaps in services for low-income individuals and families in the community, and to ensure that the agency is directing and adjusting its services regularly in response to the changing needs in the community. The Community Needs Assessment must contain the following components: 1. An analysis of information gathered directly from low-income individuals through methods such as surveys, focus groups, interviews, and/or community forums; 2. Information gathered from community partners including community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, public sector partners, law enforcement, and educational institutions; and 3. An analysis of the most recent U.S. Census Bureau data showing the incidence of poverty in the contract agency's service area and how poverty affects different demographic groups in the community. In years when a Community Needs Assessment is conducted, each eligible entity must include a report on the findings of its Community Needs Assessment with its CSBG application and Community Action Plan. All of Wisconsin's eligible entities are in the process of completing a Community Needs Assessment, which are due to DCF by October 1, 2025. DCF will review each of these Community Needs Assessments and the entities' Community Action Plans to ensure there is a clear relationship between the results of the assessment and the corresponding plans of the eligible entities.

Section 14: CSBG Programmatic Assurances and Information Narrative

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No: 0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 14 CSBG Programmatic Assurance and Information Narrative (Section 676(b) of the CSBG Act)

14.1 Use of Funds Supporting Local Activities

CSBG Services

14.1a. 676(b)(1)(A): Describe how the state will assure "that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used -

(A) to support activities that are designed to assist low-income families and individuals, including families and individuals receiving assistance under title IV of the Social Security Act, homeless families and individuals, migrant or seasonal farm workers, and elderly low-income individuals and families, and a description of how such activities will enable the families and individuals--

- (i) to remove obstacles and solve problems that block the achievement of self sufficiency (particularly for families and individuals who are attempting to transition off a State program carried out under part A of title IV of the Social Security Act);
- (ii) to secure and retain meaningful employment;
- (iii) to attain an adequate education with particular attention toward improving literacy skills of the low-income families in the community, which may include family literacy initiatives;
- (iv) to make better use of available income;
- (v) to obtain and maintain adequate housing and a suitable living environment;
- (vi) to obtain emergency assistance through loans, grants, or other means to meet immediate and urgent individual and family needs;
- (vii) to achieve greater participation in the affairs of the communities involved, including the development of public and private grassroots partnerships with local law enforcement agencies, local housing authorities, private foundations, and other public and private partners to -
 - (I) document best practices based on successful grassroots intervention in urban areas, to develop methodologies for widespread replication; and
 - (II) strengthen and improve relationships with local law enforcement agencies, which may include participation in activities such as neighborhood or community policing efforts;

see attachment 14.1a

Needs of Youth

14.1b. 676(b)(1)(B) Describe how the state will assure "that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used -

(B) to address the needs of youth in low-income communities through youth development programs that support the primary role of the family, give priority to the prevention of youth problems and crime, and promote increased community coordination and collaboration in meeting the needs of youth, and support development and expansion of innovative community-based youth development programs that have demonstrated success in preventing or reducing youth crime, such as--

- (i) programs for the establishment of violence-free zones that would involve youth development and intervention models (such as models involving youth mediation, youth mentoring, life skills training, job creation, and entrepreneurship programs); and
- (ii) after-school child care programs;

DCF requires eligible entities to describe in their annual applications for CSBG funds how they provide and/or support efforts to address the needs of youth in low-income communities. Wisconsin eligible entities operate several programs that address the youth in low-income communities. The following are some examples: Fresh Start, which provides education, hands-on construction training, and career direction focused on economic self-sufficiency for high-risk teens and young adults. The majority of youth served by Fresh Start programs are high school dropouts from low-income households. Common barriers they face include teen pregnancy/parenting, substance abuse issues, and contact with the juvenile and/or criminal justice system; Youth Homeless Demonstration Program (YHDP), which provides comprehensive support for youth ages 17-24 experiencing homelessness. Utilizing a housing first and trauma-informed care approach, YHDP ensures that youth are housed promptly while addressing barriers to achieve permanent housing stability. More than one eligible entity is in the early process of either opening or developing childcare centers at a safe and affordable facility. This includes the innovative, childcare incubator which allows licensed in-home providers locations to provide services in one central building.

Coordination of Other Programs

14.1c. 676(b)(1)(C) Describe how the state will assure "that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used -

(C) to make more effective use of, and to coordinate with, other programs related to the purposes of this subtitle (including state welfare reform efforts)

Through the CSBG monitoring process, the CSBG contract manager routinely ensures that there are strong collaborative relationships between eligible entities and other anti-poverty organizations in each community. These relationships serve to reduce duplication of efforts among local service providers and focus resources strategically on local communities most pressing needs. The following are some examples of eligible entities activities in this area: - Leading local Continuums of Care, which are the communitywide consortia of service providers and other stakeholders working together to address

homelessness on the local level; - Coordinating the food pantries that cover an eligible entity's service area; - Working with local workforce development boards to improve employment outcomes and training opportunities for low-income people; - Working with the full spectrum of educational institutions via partnerships between Head Start and elementary schools; high schools through programs like Fresh Start, reproductive health and pregnancy prevention services; and post-secondary institutions with programs like Skills Enhancement, or other workforce training programs; - Providing domestic abuse or sexual assault services through cooperative agreements with law enforcement, district attorneys, corrections, health providers, schools, and universities; and - Partnering with county human service departments in a variety of ways to better serve mutual customers.

State Use of Discretionary Funds

14.2 676(b)(2) Describe "how the state intends to use discretionary funds made available from the remainder of the grant or allotment described in section 675C(b) in accordance with this subtitle, including a description of how the state will support innovative community and neighborhood-based initiatives related to the purposes of this subtitle."

Note: The State describes this assurance under "State Use of Funds: Remainder/Discretionary," items 7.9 and 7.10

Eligible Entity Service Delivery, Coordination, and Innovation

14.3. 676(b)(3) "Based on information provided by eligible entities in the state, a description of..."

14.3a. 676(b)(3)(A) Describe "the service delivery system, for services provided or coordinated with funds made available through grants made under 675C(a), targeted to low-income individuals and families in communities within the state;"

The eligible entities operate a wide variety of services for low-income individuals and families including housing and homeless prevention, employment and training programs, medical and dental care, Head Start and childcare centers, transportation assistance, emergency food programs, weatherization, energy assistance, and programs that promote family stability and positive parenting practices for both custodial and noncustodial parents. Referrals come to these programs through 2-1-1 call centers, other service providers in the community, and other programs within the eligible entities themselves. Many of the eligible entities also report receiving a significant portion of their referrals through word-of-mouth, when members of the community share information with one another about where to go for assistance.

Eligible Entity Linkages - Approach to Filling Service Gaps

14.3b. 676(b)(3)(B) Describe "how linkages will be developed to fill identified gaps in the services, through the provision of information, referrals, case management, and followup consultations."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the state linkages and communication section, item 9.3b.

All of Wisconsin's eligible entities conduct a comprehensive Community Needs Assessment every three years, to be followed up by a strategic plan. Through these assessments, as well as the use of ROMA, the agencies are frequently re-assessing the services in their community versus what they currently offer. Eligible entities develop linkages to fill identified gaps in the services through referrals and follow-up consultations. Additionally, a couple of Wisconsin's eligible entities are collaborating with community partners and building community resource centers. DCF has also started to spotlight eligible entity partnerships within a monthly spotlight newsletter. WISCAP hosts an annual Poverty Matters Conference where eligible entities along with other anti-poverty partners can network while also presenting and learning about the different programs available for low-income individuals.

Coordination of Eligible Entity Allocation 90 Percent Funds with Public/Private Resources

14.3c. 676(b)(3)(C) Describe how funds made available through grants made under 675C(a) will be coordinated with other public and private resources."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the state linkages and communication section, item 9.7.

Wisconsin's eligible entities coordinate CSBG funds with a wide variety of other funding. They access additional federal and state grant resources that support Head Start, weatherization, housing assistance and development, employment and training programs, and emergency food services. Eligible entities also have garnered the ongoing financial support of United Way, community foundations, and other local philanthropic organizations and individuals. In some counties, municipal and/or county governments contract with eligible entities to provide services. In the 2023 CSBG Annual Report, Wisconsin's eligible entities reported leveraging \$235,874,118 in funding. This means that for every \$1 of CSBG funding, Wisconsin's eligible entities leveraged \$25.83 from federal, state, local, and private sources.

Eligible Entity Innovative Community and Neighborhood Initiatives, Including Fatherhood/Parental Responsibility

14.3d. 676(b)(3)(D) Describe "how the local entity will use the funds [made available under Section 675C(a)] to support innovative community and neighborhood-based initiatives related to the purposes of this subtitle, which may include fatherhood initiatives and other initiatives with the goal of strengthening families and encouraging parenting."

Note: The description above is about eligible entity use of 90 percent funds to support these initiatives. States may also support these types of activities at the local level using State remainder/discretionary funds, allowable under Section 675C(b)(1)(F). In this State Plan, the State indicates funds allocated for these activities under item 7.9(f).

All eligible entities use a portion of their CSBG allocations to research and develop effective solutions to community needs. These solutions have included the creation of community health centers and clinics that provide affordable health and dental care to low-income people. They have also included the development of a significant number of new and rehabilitated housing units for low-income families and seniors. Some of the states eligible entities operate fatherhood programs. Two of these programs are linked with Head Start programs and focus on engaging the fathers of children enrolled in Head Start, and others are freestanding fatherhood programs that focus on improving employment outcomes for low-income fathers. Some of the states eligible entities operate programs to strengthen families using a whole family approach. Some of the states eligible entities operate a program to strengthen families through improved birth outcomes, which involves both pre- and post-natal programming and services.

Eligible Entity Emergency Food and Nutrition Services

14.4. 676(b)(4) Describe how the state will assure "that eligible entities in the state will provide, on an emergency basis, for the provision of such

supplies and services, nutritious foods, and related services, as may be necessary to counteract conditions of starvation and malnutrition among low-income individuals."

DCF requires eligible entities to indicate on their annual applications for CSBG funds how they provide and/or support efforts to provide emergency food and nutrition services. All the Wisconsin Community Action Agencies run a food assistance program, whether that be Senior food boxes, running an independent food pantry or USDAs Emergency food Assistance program (TEFAP). Wisconsin eligible entities mobilize thousands of volunteers across the state each year to assist in feeding the hungry. In addition, WISCAP staff work closely with Wisconsin administrator of TEFAP. When issues arise related to eligible entity responsiveness to problems (such as issues with food storage, attendance at coordinating meetings, staffing problems, or other issues) WISCAP staff works with the grantees to help ensure that the funded eligible entities address the identified issues. The TEFAP administrator also meets with the eligible entities that operate emergency food programs at WISCAP board meetings once or twice per year. Three eligible entities also operate Women, Infants & Children Nutrition (WIC) programs.

State and Eligible Entity Coordination/linkages and Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Employment and Training Activities

14.5. 676(b)(5) Describe how the state will assure "that the state and eligible entities in the state will coordinate, and establish linkages between, governmental and other social services programs to assure the effective delivery of such services, and [describe] how the State and the eligible entities will coordinate the provision of employment and training activities, as defined in section 3 of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, in the state and in communities with entities providing activities through statewide and local workforce development systems under such Act."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the state linkages and communication section, items 9.1, 9.2, 9.3a, 9.4, 9.4a, and 9.4b.

State Coordination/Linkages and Low-income Home Energy Assistance

14.6. 676(b)(6) Provide "an assurance that the state will ensure coordination between antipoverty programs in each community in the state, and ensure, where appropriate, that emergency energy crisis intervention programs under title XXVI (relating to low income home energy assistance) are conducted in such community."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the state linkages and communication section, items 9.2 and 9.5.

Federal Investigations

14.7. 676(b)(7) Provide "an assurance that the state will permit and cooperate with Federal investigations undertaken in accordance with section 678D." Yes

Note: The state addresses this assurance in the Fiscal Controls and Monitoring section, item 10.13.

Funding Reduction or Termination

14.8. 676(b)(8) Provide "an assurance that any eligible entity in the state that received funding in the previous fiscal year through a community services block grant made under this subtitle will not have its funding terminated under this subtitle, or reduced below the proportional share of funding the entity received in the previous fiscal year unless, after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing on the record, the state determines that cause exists for such termination or such reduction, subject to review by the Secretary as provided in section 678C(b)." Yes

Note: The state addresses this assurance in the Fiscal Controls and Monitoring section, item 10.7.

Coordination with Faith-based Organizations, Charitable Groups, Community Organizations

14.9. 676(b)(9) Describe how the state will assure "that the state and eligible entities in the state will, to the maximum extent possible, coordinate programs with and form partnerships with other organizations serving low-income residents of the communities and members of the groups served by the state, including religious organizations, charitable groups, and community organizations."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the state Linkages and Communication section, item 9.6.

Eligible Entity Tripartite Board Representation

14.10. 676(b)(10) Describe how "the state will require each eligible entity in the state to establish procedures under which a low-income individual, community organization, or religious organization, or representative of low-income individuals that considers its organization, or low-income individuals, to be inadequately represented on the board (or other mechanism) of the eligible entity to petition for adequate representation."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the Eligible Entity Tripartite Board section, 11.3.

As part of the States CSBG monitoring process, DCF requires each eligible entity to provide evidence that it has policies and procedures in place by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on the eligible entity's board. Eligible entities typically meet this requirement by having a policy and procedure outlined in their bylaws. If an eligible entity fails to meet this requirement, this will result in a finding in the CSBG monitoring report. DCF would then require the eligible entity to develop a Corrective Action Plan within 60 days that shows how the eligible entity will resolve the finding within a reasonable timeframe (i.e., 12 months or less) by formally adopting a policy and procedures by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on its board. DCF will provide technical assistance as needed.

Eligible Entity Community Action Plans and Community Needs Assessments

14.11. 676(b)(11) Provide "an assurance that the state will secure from each eligible entity in the services block grant made under this subtitle for a program, a community action plan (which shall be submitted to the Secretary, at the request of the Secretary, with the State plan) that includes a community-needs assessment for the community served, which may be coordinated with community-needs assessments conducted for other programs."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the ROMA section, items 13.5 and 13.6.

State and Eligible Entity Performance Measurement: ROMA or Alternate system

14.12. 676(b)(12) Provide "an assurance that the state and all eligible entities in the State will, not later than fiscal year 2001, participate in the Results Oriented Management and Accountability System, another performance measure system for which the Secretary facilitated development pursuant to 678E(b), or an alternative system for measuring performance and results that meets the requirements of that section, and [describe] outcome measures to be used to measure eligible entity performance in promoting self-sufficiency, family stability, and community revitalization."

Note: The state describes this assurance in the ROMA section, items 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, and 13.4.

Validation for CSBG Eligible Entity Programmatic Narrative Sections

14.13. 676(b)(13) Provide "information describing how the state will carry out the assurances described in this section."

Note: The state provides information for each of the assurances directly in section 14 or in corresponding items throughout the State Plan, which are included as hyperlinks in section 14.



By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is certifying the assurances set out above.

Section 15: Federal Certifications

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)

Form Approved
OMB No:0970-0382
Expires:08/31/2028

SECTION 15 Federal Certifications

15.1. CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

The box after each certification must be checked by the state CSBG authorized official.

15.1. Lobbying

After assurance select a check box:



By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.

15.2. CERTIFICATION REGARDING DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS

This certification is required by the regulations implementing the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988: 45 CFR Part 76, Subpart, F. Sections 76.630(c) and (d)(2) and 76.645 (a)(1) and (b) provide that a Federal agency may designate a central receipt point for STATE-WIDE AND STATE AGENCY-WIDE certifications, and for notification of criminal drug convictions. For the Department of Health and Human Services, the central point is: Division of Grants Management and Oversight, Office of Management and Acquisition, Department of Health and Human Services, Room 517-D, 200 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20201.

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (Instructions for Certification)

1. By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification set out below.
2. The certification set out below is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, the agency, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.
3. For grantees other than individuals, Alternate I applies.
4. For grantees who are individuals, Alternate II applies.
5. Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need to be identified on the certification. If known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee's drug-free workplace requirements.
6. Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or state highway department while in operation, state employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio studios).
7. If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph five).
8. Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees' attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules:

Controlled substance means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15);.

Conviction means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the federal or state criminal drug statutes;

Criminal drug statute means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any controlled substance;

Employee means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including: (i) All direct charge employees; (ii) All indirect charge employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and, (iii) Temporary personnel and consultants who are directly engaged in the performance of work under the grant

and who are on the grantee's payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee's payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

The grantee certifies that it will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

(a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;

(b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about--

(1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;

(2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;

(3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and

(4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;

(c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);

(d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will - -

(1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the agency in writing, within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;

(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted - -

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).

The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

(a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant;

(b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to every grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

[55 FR 21690, 21702, May 25, 1990]

15.2. Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

After assurance select a check box:



By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.

15.3. CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - - Primary Covered Transactions

Instructions for Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.

2. The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency's determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.

3. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

4. The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

5. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of the rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

6. The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly

enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.

7. The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusive-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions

8. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

9. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

10. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - - Primary Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of federal or state antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (federal, state or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default.

(2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the

statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

**Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - -
Lower Tier Covered Transactions**

Instructions for Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below

2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other

3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or had become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

4. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include this clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph five of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from

participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

(2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

15.3. Debarment

After assurance select a check box:



By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.

15.4. CERTIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE

Public Law 103227, Part C Environmental Tobacco Smoke, also known as the Pro Children Act of 1994, requires that smoking not be permitted in any portion of any indoor routinely owned or leased or contracted for by an entity and used routinely or regularly for provision of health, day care, education, or library services to children under the age of 18, if the services are funded by Federal programs either directly or through state or local governments, by Federal grant, contract, loan, or loan guarantee. The law does not apply to children's services provided in private residences, facilities funded solely by Medicare or Medicaid funds, and portions of facilities used for inpatient drug or alcohol treatment. Failure to comply with the provisions of the law may result in the imposition of a civil monetary penalty of up to \$1000 per day and/or the imposition of an administrative compliance order on the responsible entity by signing and submitting this application the applicant/grantee certifies that it will comply with the requirements of the Act.

The applicant/grantee further agrees that it will require the language of this certification be included in any subawards which contain provisions for the children's services and that all subgrantees shall certify accordingly.

15.4. Environmental Tobacco Smoke

After assurance select a check box:



By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.

THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.