## **DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES**

Division of Safety and Permanence

## 90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Number:	131001DSP-Rock-372	Agency:	Rock County Human Services Department
Child Information (at time Age: 2 years	of incident) Gender:  F	emale 🖂N	lale
Race or Ethnicity: White	<b>)</b>		
Special Needs: None			
Date of Incident: 10/01	/2013		
On October 1, 2013, the significant injuries to his the agency and found to diagnosed the injuries a	ne agency received a report is neck, back of the head, right the child was injured in the is consistent with dog bites a	regarding at shoulder, home of and transpo	a two year old child who presented at a local hospital with and back. Law enforcement initiated a joint investigation with a relative and was attacked by a dog. Medical professionals red the child via ambulance to a hospital with a trauma unit, he need for follow-up by medical staff.
he heard screams from kitchen the dog was atta- requiring surgery. The m	the kitchen from his son and cking his son. He was able to naternal aunt was interviewed	d the mater to get the do I and stated	vas laying on the couch in a different section of the house when real aunt of the child. The father stated when he got into the og off of his son but the dog also attacked him, causing injuries the child was playing with a rawhide with the dog and without causing the significant injuries.
The investigation by law	enforcement remains open b	out no crimi	nal charges have been filed in this case.
The agency collaborated gathered, the Initial Assematernal aunt to the child information to the parent child were life threatenir mother and father. The aggressiveness of the anobserved and evaluated to	with law enforcement and measurement completed by the age d. The maternal aunt had knots or the agency before the charge and will require extensive agency did not find a prepondimal before moving into the results.	ency found owledge of tild and his medical fol derance of t residence are and determ	terial circumstances leading to incident: onnel to complete the assessment. Based on information a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate neglect by the the aggressiveness of the animal and did not disclose this parents moved into the home. The injuries sustained by the low-up. The agency unsubstantiated neglect to the child by the he evidence to conclude they had knowledge of the nd responded appropriately after the incident. The agency mined the child safe in the care of the parents. The family was
	nvestigation pending or complete harges filed? If yes, against wh		
Child's residence at the ti	ime of incident: 🛛 In-home	Out-of-ho	ome care placement
	ollowing section (A. or B. based home at the time of the incide		s residence at the time of the incident).
child and / or in the ch	ild's family home):		noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the nother, father, maternal aunt, and an adult cousin in the home of
			48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's y referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time

If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the person(s) receiving those services:

On September 20, 2013, the agency received a CPS report that the conditions of the family residence were unsanitary for a child. The report listed a significant roach problem and other unsanitary conditions. On September 26, 2013, the agency implemented a protective plan with a relative allowing the family and child to stay in their home. The agency completed background checks on adults in the home, evaluated the residence of the residence for safety, and confirmed that it was safe for the child to reside in the home of the relative under the protective plan.

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

None

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

On September 20, 2013, the agency received a CPS report that the conditions of the family residence were unsanitary for a child. The report listed a significant roach problem and other unsanitary conditions. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of evidence to substantiate neglect to the child by both parents.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. Based on information gathered, the Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of the evidence to substantiate neglect by the maternal aunt to the child. The maternal aunt had knowledge of the aggressiveness of the animal and did not disclose information to the parents or the agency before the child and his parents moved into the home. The injuries sustained by the child were life threatening and will require extensive medical follow-up. The agency unsubstantiated neglect to the child by the mother and father. The agency did not find a preponderance of the evidence to conclude they had knowledge of the aggressiveness of the animal before moving into the residence and responded appropriately after the incident. The agency observed and evaluated the family's current residence and determined the child safe in the care of the parents. The family was referred to community services but declined the offer.

B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:	
N/A	
Description of all other persons residing in the OHO placement because	

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

	IVA				
Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)					
$\boxtimes$	Screening of Access report		Attempted or successful reunification		
	Protective plan implemented		Referral to services		
$\boxtimes$	Initial assessment conducted		Transportation assistance		
	Safety plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with law enforcement		
	Temporary physical custody of child	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with medical professionals		
	Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of		Supervised visitation		
	protection or services)		Case remains open for services		
	Placement into foster home	$\boxtimes$	Case closed by agency		
	Placement with relatives	$\boxtimes$	Initiated efforts to address or enhance community		
	Ongoing Services case management		collaboration on CA/N cases		
		$\boxtimes$	Other (describe): The city attorney was contacted and		
			the dog was euthanized as a result of the severity of		
			the incident to this child and an attack on another		
			child shortly after the Department's involvement.		
			china shortly after the Department's involvement.		

## FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified during the review of the incident:

Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the DSP completes a 90-Day review of the agency's practice in each case reported under the Act. The DSP did not identify practice issues during the review of the incident.

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues: None				
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable	This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this case.			
If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.				
The agency must submit an electronic copy of the completed 90-Day Summary Report to: RobertB.Williams@wisconsin.gov				