## **DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES**

Division of Safety and Permanence

## 6-Month Final Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury, or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Case Tracking Nu	umber:	120706DSP-	Milw-218	Agency:	Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare
Child Information Age: 6 years	(at time	of incident)	Gender: 🗌 F	emale 🛚	Male
Race or Ethnicity:	Asian				_
Special Needs: _	None				
Date of Incident:	7/6/12				
On 7/10/12, the a on 7/6/12. Both to supervise the	agency reparents versions of the pool.	eceived a repowere inside the d. The parent A short time	ort regarding a ce home at the tests were unaware later, the fathe	6-year-old ime of the free that the 1 er found the	child who was found deceased in the family's swimming pool incident. The father reportedly asked his 16-year-old daughter 6-year-old did not remain outside to supervise the 6-year-old to 6-year-old face down in the pool. Law enforcement ruled the
The agency scree agency determin death. The fathe communicated at	ened in a ed that the expected are expected and result need to be the	nd assessed the parents failed that the 16-ed in a lapse in child's death.	ne allegation of ed to ensure the year-old would n supervision t	Eneglect to e child was d provide a to the 6-yea	the 6-year-old. Neglect to the child was substantiated. The a properly supervised while swimming, which resulted in his dequate supervision but his expectations were not clearly ur-old. The case was already open for in-home services with the and the family continues to receive Intensive In-Home Services
			nding or complet If yes, against w		
Child's residence	at the ti	ne of incident:	: 🛛 In-home	Out-of-h	ome care placement
	-	-	(A. or B. based one of the incider		s residence at the time of the incident).
		Id's family (inc		d members,	noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the
The child li	ved with	his mother, f	father, 18-year		ister, 17-year-old half-brother, 16-year-old half-sister, 14-year-year-old sister, and 1-year-old sister.
					. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's y referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time
		be the type of ose services:	services, date(	s) of last co	ontact between agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the
• • • •	_		In-Home Serv	vices at the	time of the incident. A face-to-face visit occurred with the

Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)

On 4/2/12, the agency received a report alleging neglect by the parents to the 16-year-old daughter and 14-year-old son. The agency screened in the report for assessment and unsubstantiated the allegation of neglect. The case was referred to Intensive In-Home Services to assist the parents in properly addressing the mental and emotional health needs of their children.

family on 7/3/12.

On 3/6/11, the agency received a report alleging neglect to the 2-year-old (now 4-year-old). They agency screened in the report for assessment and unsubstantiated the allegation of neglect. The case was referred to Intensive In-Home Services to address issues of supervision. The family worked with Safety Services from March 2011 through June 2011. The family successfully met their goals and the case was closed.

Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any investigation of a report or referrals to services involving the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and the child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not include the current incident.)

(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of the report, screening decision, and if a referral to services occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of maltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessment, and no further action is required by the agency.)

On 11/15/07, the agency received a report alleging physical abuse to the 9-year-old (now 14-year-old). The agency screened in the report for assessment and unsubstantiated the allegation of physical abuse. The case was closed at the conclusion of the assessment.

Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 or ch. 938 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:

The agency screened in and assessed the allegation of neglect to the child. The allegation of neglect was substantiated. The agency determined the parents failed to ensure the child was properly supervised while swimming, which resulted in his death. The case remains open and the family continues to receive Intensive In-Home Services to assist them in appropriately meeting their children's needs.

B. Children residing in out-of-home (OHC) placement at time of incident:

Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there:

Summary of any actions taken by agency in response to the incident: (Check all that apply.)

N/A

Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home:

N/A

**Licensing history:** Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee that constitutes a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child.

N/A

$\boxtimes$	Screening of Access report		Attempted or successful reunification
	Protective plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Referral to services
$\boxtimes$	Initial assessment conducted		Transportation assistance
$\boxtimes$	Safety plan implemented	$\boxtimes$	Collaboration with law enforcement
	Temporary physical custody of child		Collaboration with medical professionals
	Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of	$\boxtimes$	Supervised visitation
	protection or services	$\boxtimes$	Case remains open for services
	Placement into foster home		Case closed by agency
	Placement with relatives		Initiated efforts to address or enhance community
	Ongoing Services case management		collaboration on CA/N cases
			Other (describe):

## FOR DSP COMPLETION ONLY:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified during the review of the incident:

Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), DSP completes a 90-day review of the agency's practice in each case reported under the Act. In accordance with the DCF memo Series 2010-13, dated December 7, 2010 pertaining to the Child Welfare Case Review Protocol, the Bureau of Performance Management (BPM) completed a record review in case # 120706DSP-Milw-218. The review found the Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare (BMCW) was not in compliance with CPS Access and Initial Assessment Standards in the areas of information gathering and determination of response time at Access, and information gathering and safety planning in Initial Assessment. The Ongoing Services Agency was not in compliance with Safety Intervention Standards related to implementation of the safety plan upon initiation of ongoing services and ongoing evaluation of the

effectiveness of the safety plan.

BMCW revised its Quality Assurance Plan. A focus of the plan is to ensure the timeliness and quality of information collection, analysis, and decision making from the point of access through initial assessment completion. This plan, its strategies, and the quality review results are discussed monthly at agency executive management meetings. BMCW also reviewed and made revisions to the Intensive-In-Home Program that included procedural and evaluation changes that address findings related to Safety Intervention Standards. The Ongoing Services Agency updated policy and procedures associated with findings in the area of Safety Intervention Standards. The Ongoing Services Agency also continues to monitor performance in the Intensive-In-Home Services program through monthly Quality Assurance reviews, attending to practice areas of Safety Intervention Standards.

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues: $None$						
Yes No Not Applicable	This 6-month summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) review of this case.					
If the case review was not completed	within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.					
The agency must submit an electronic	c copy of the completed 90-Day Summary Report to RobertB.Williams@wisconsin.gov					